

Space engineering

**ECSS Secretariat**

**ESA-ESTEC**

**Requirements & Standards Division**

**Noordwijk, The Netherlands**

Two-phase heat transport equipment

**Foreword**

This Standard is one of the series of ECSS Standards intended to be applied together for the management, engineering and product assurance in space projects and applications. ECSS is a cooperative effort of the European Space Agency, national space agencies and European industry associations for the purpose of developing and maintaining common standards. Requirements in this Standard are defined in terms of what shall be accomplished, rather than in terms of how to organize and perform the necessary work. This allows existing organizational structures and methods to be applied where they are effective, and for the structures and methods to evolve as necessary without rewriting the standards.

This Standard has been prepared by the ECSS-E-ST-31-02C Working Group, reviewed by the ECSS Executive Secretariat and approved by the ECSS Technical Authority.

**Disclaimer**

ECSS does not provide any warranty whatsoever, whether expressed, implied, or statutory, including, but not limited to, any warranty of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose or any warranty that the contents of the item are error-free. In no respect shall ECSS incur any liability for any damages, including, but not limited to, direct, indirect, special, or consequential damages arising out of, resulting from, or in any way connected to the use of this Standard, whether or not based upon warranty, business agreement, tort, or otherwise; whether or not injury was sustained by persons or property or otherwise; and whether or not loss was sustained from, or arose out of, the results of, the item, or any services that may be provided by ECSS.

Published by: ESA Requirements and Standards Division

ESTEC, P.O. Box 299,

2200 AG Noordwijk

The Netherlands

Copyright: 2017 by the European Space Agency for the members of ECSS

Change log

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ECSS-E-ST-31-02C  12 December 2012 | First issue |
| ECSS-E-ST-31-02C Rev.1  15 March 2017 | First issue, Revision 1  Changes with respect to version C (12 December 2012) are identified with revision tracking.  **Main changes are:**   * Implementation of Change Requests * Clause 3 Terms, definition and abbreviated terms" updated and Nomenclature added * Titles of clauses 4, 5, 5.1, 5.5.1; updated * Clause 4 updated to include TPHTE acceptance requirements in new clause 4.5 "TPHTE acceptance principles" * Merge of former clauses 4.4 and 4.5 to new clause 4.4 "TPHTE qualification principles" * DRDs in Annex A to H deleted. Requirements calling the DRDs updated to the DRD in the dedicated ECSS Standard   **Detailed changes:**  **Added requirements**   * 5.1.2j; 5.5.3.3.1f; 5.5.3.3.2a-b (modified and moved to 5.5.3.3.1a; Clause 6 requirements.   **Modified requirement**   * 5.1.1a-b; 5.1.2c, g-h; 5.1.3a-h, j and n; 5.3.2 e; 5.4 Table 5-1; 5.5.2a; 5.5.2b Note; 5.5.3.1a-c; 5.5.3.2a, b and d; 5.5.3.3.1a and e; 5.5.3.4a-b; 5.5.3.5a-b; 5.5.5.1a.3. (interleaved Note moved to end of requirement); 5.5.5.2d.5 (text in brackets moved into new Note); 5.6.3.1a; 5.6.3.2.3b; 5.6.4a; 5.6.5b; 5.6.7e Note; 5.6.9.1a and g; 5.6.9.3a; 5.6.9.4a; 5.6.9.5b; 5.6.10.1a Note; 5.6.10.1b Note added; Table 5-1 (Caption updated and "MHz" changed to "Hz" in Table header); 5.6.12b Note; 5.6.13a and c; 5.6.14.2a; 5.9.2b, c and e; Table 5-7.   **Deleted requirements**   * 5.1.2d-f; 5.1.3i and k-m; 5.5.1a; 5.5.1b Note2 added; all requirements of Annex A to Annex H (as the DRDs were deleted in this document). |

Table of contents

[Change log 3](#_Toc479063616)

[Introduction 7](#_Toc479063617)

[1 Scope 8](#_Toc479063618)

[2 Normative references 9](#_Toc479063619)

[3 Terms, definitions and abbreviated terms 10](#_Toc479063620)

[3.1 Terms defined in other standards 10](#_Toc479063621)

[3.2 Terms specific to the present standard 11](#_Toc479063622)

[3.3 Abbreviated terms 14](#_Toc479063623)

[3.4 Nomenclature 15](#_Toc479063624)

[4 TPHTE verification principles 16](#_Toc479063625)

[4.1 TPHTE categorization 16](#_Toc479063626)

[4.2 Involved organizations 16](#_Toc479063627)

[4.3 Generic requirements in this standard 17](#_Toc479063628)

[4.4 TPHTE qualification principles 18](#_Toc479063629)

[4.4.1 Processes, number of qualification units 18](#_Toc479063630)

[4.4.2 Thermal and mechanical qualification 18](#_Toc479063631)

[4.5 TPHTE acceptance principles 21](#_Toc479063632)

[5 Requirements for qualification activity 23](#_Toc479063633)

[5.1 Technical specification (TS) 23](#_Toc479063634)

[5.1.1 General 23](#_Toc479063635)

[5.1.2 Requirements to the TS 23](#_Toc479063636)

[5.1.3 Requirements for formulating technical requirements 24](#_Toc479063637)

[5.2 Materials, parts and processes 24](#_Toc479063638)

[5.3 General qualification requirements 25](#_Toc479063639)

[5.3.1 Qualification process 25](#_Toc479063640)

[5.3.2 Supporting infrastructure – Tools and test equipment 25](#_Toc479063641)

[5.4 Qualification process selection 25](#_Toc479063642)

[5.5 Qualification stage 27](#_Toc479063643)

[5.5.1 Qualification requirements 27](#_Toc479063644)

[5.5.2 Quality audits 28](#_Toc479063645)

[5.5.3 Qualification methods 28](#_Toc479063646)

[5.5.4 Full and delta qualification programme 30](#_Toc479063647)

[5.5.5 Performance requirements 30](#_Toc479063648)

[5.6 Qualification test programme 32](#_Toc479063649)

[5.6.1 Number of qualification units 32](#_Toc479063650)

[5.6.2 Test sequence 32](#_Toc479063651)

[5.6.3 Test requirements 35](#_Toc479063652)

[5.6.4 Physical properties measurement 38](#_Toc479063653)

[5.6.5 Proof pressure test 39](#_Toc479063654)

[5.6.6 Pressure cycle test 39](#_Toc479063655)

[5.6.7 Burst pressure test 39](#_Toc479063656)

[5.6.8 Leak test 40](#_Toc479063657)

[5.6.9 Thermal performance test 40](#_Toc479063658)

[5.6.10 Mechanical tests 43](#_Toc479063659)

[5.6.11 Thermal cycle test 45](#_Toc479063660)

[5.6.12 Aging and life tests 45](#_Toc479063661)

[5.6.13 Gas plug test 46](#_Toc479063662)

[5.6.14 Reduced thermal performance test 46](#_Toc479063663)

[5.7 Operating procedures 47](#_Toc479063664)

[5.8 Storage 47](#_Toc479063665)

[5.9 Documentation 47](#_Toc479063666)

[5.9.1 Documentation summary 47](#_Toc479063667)

[5.9.2 Specific documentation requirements 47](#_Toc479063668)

[6 Requirements for acceptance activity 50](#_Toc479063669)

[6.1 General 50](#_Toc479063670)

[6.2 Acceptance process 50](#_Toc479063671)

[6.2.1 Materials, parts and processes 50](#_Toc479063672)

[6.2.2 General acceptance requirements 50](#_Toc479063673)

[6.2.3 Supporting infrastructure – Tools and test equipment 50](#_Toc479063674)

[6.2.4 Acceptance test programme 51](#_Toc479063675)

[6.2.5 Operating procedures 51](#_Toc479063676)

[6.2.6 Storage 51](#_Toc479063677)

[6.2.7 Documentation 51](#_Toc479063678)

[Bibliography 53](#_Toc479063679)

**Figures**

Figure 3‑1: Tilt definition for HP 13

Figure 3‑2: Tilt definition for LHP 13

Figure 4‑1: Categories of TPHTE (two-phase heat transport equipment) 17

Figure 4‑2: Figure-of-merit (G) for some TPHTE fluids 19

Figure 4‑3: Definition of temperature and performance ranges for a HP 20

Figure 5‑1: Selection of qualification process 27

Figure 5‑2: Qualification test sequence for HP 33

Figure 5‑3: Qualification test sequence for CDL 34

**Tables**

Table 4‑1: Examples of allowed design modifications for acceptance hardware 22

Table 5‑1: Categories of two-phase heat transport equipment according to heritage (adapted from ECSS-E-ST-10-02C, Table 5-1) 26

Table 5‑2: Allowable tolerances 36

Table 5‑3: Measurement accuracy 38

Table 5‑4: Equipment resonance search test levels 44

Table 5‑5: Sinusoidal vibration qualification test levels 44

Table 5‑6: Random vibration qualification test levels 45

Table 5‑7: TPHTE documentation 49

Introduction

This Standard replaces ESA PSS-49, Issue 2 “Heat pipe qualification requirements”, written in 1983, when the need for heat pipes in several ESA projects had been identified. At that time a number of European development activities were initiated to provide qualified heat pipes for these programmes, which culminated in a first heat pipe application on a European spacecraft in 1981 (MARECS, BR-200, ESA Achievements - More Than Thirty Years of Pioneering Space Activity, ESA November 30, 2001), followed by a first major application on a European communication satellite in 1987 (TV-SAT 1, German Communication Satellites).

ESA PSS-49 was published at a time, when knowledge of heat pipe technology started to evolve from work of a few laboratories in Europe (IKE, University Stuttgart, EURATOM Research Centre, Ispra). Several wick designs, material combinations and heat carrier fluids were investigated and many process related issues remained to be solved. From today’s view point the qualification requirements of ESA PSS-49 appear therefore very detailed, exhaustive and in some cases disproportionate in an effort to cover any not yet fully understood phenomena. As examples the specified number of qualification units (14), the number of required thermal cycles (800) and the extensive mechanical testing (50 g constant acceleration, high level sine and random vibration) can be cited.

The present Standard takes advantage of valid requirements of ESA PSS-49, but reflects at the same time today’s advanced knowledge of two-phase cooling technology, which can be found with European manufacturers. This includes experience to select proven material combinations, reliable wick and container designs, to apply well-established manufacturing and testing processes, and develop reliable analysis tools to predict in-orbit performance of flight hardware. The experience is also based on numerous successful two-phase cooling system applications in European spacecraft over the last 20 years.

Besides streamlining the ESA PSS-49, to arrive at today’s accepted set of heat pipe qualification requirements, the following features have also been taken into account:

* Extension of PSS-49 heat pipe qualification requirements to include heat pipe acceptance requirements;
* Inclusion of qualification and acceptance requirements for two-phase loops (CPL, LHP);
* Reference to applicable requirements in other ECSS documents;
* Formatting to recent ECSS template in order to produce a document, which can be used in business agreements between customer and supplier.

# Scope

This standard defines qualification and acceptance requirements for two-phase heat transportation equipment (TPHTE), for use in spacecraft thermal control.

This standard is applicable to qualification and acceptance activities of new hardware.

However, acceptance requirements of this Standard can be used for existing hardware, which has been qualified previously to other requirements than listed herein.

Requirements for mechanical pump driven loops (MPDL) are not included in the present version of this Standard.

This standard also includes definitions and part of the requirements of ECSS-E-ST-32-02 applicable to TPHTE qualification and acceptance.

This standard may be tailored for the specific characteristics and constraints of a space project in conformance with ECSS-S-ST-00.

# Normative references

The following normative documents contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this ECSS Standard. For dated references, subsequent amendments to, or revision of any of these publications do not apply. However, parties to agreements based on this ECSS Standard are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the more recent editions of the normative documents indicated below. For undated references, the latest edition of the publication referred to applies.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ECSS-S-ST-00-01 | ECSS system - Glossary of terms |
| ECSS-E-ST-10-02 | Space engineering - Verification |
| ECSS-E-ST-10-03 | Space engineering - Testing |
| ECSS-E-ST-10-06 | Space engineering - Technical requirements specification |
| ECSS-E-ST-31 | Space engineering - Thermal control general requirements |
| ECSS-E-ST-32 | Space engineering - Structural general requirements |
| ECSS-E-ST-32-01 | Space engineering- Fracture control |
| ECSS-E-ST-32-02 | Space engineering - Structural design and verification of pressurized hardware |
| ECSS-Q-ST-70 | Space product assurance - Materials, mechanical parts and processes |
| EN 9100:2009 | Aerospace series - Quality management systems - Requirements for Aviation, Space and Defense Organizations |

# Terms, definitions and abbreviated terms

## Terms defined in other standards

1. For the purpose of this Standard, the terms and definitions from ECSS-E-ST-00-01 apply, and in particular the following:
   1. acceptance
   2. certification
   3. component
   4. customer
   5. equipment
   6. product assurance
   7. qualification
   8. supplier
2. For the purpose of this standard, the following terms and definitions from ECSS-E-ST-10-02 apply:
   1. acceptance stage
   2. analysis
   3. inspection
   4. qualification stage
   5. review-of-design (ROD)
   6. test
3. For the purpose of this standard, the following terms and definitions from ECSS-E-ST-32-02 apply:
   1. burst pressure
   2. internal pressure
   3. leak-before-burst (LBB)
   4. pressure vessel (PV)
   5. pressurized hardware (PH)
   6. proof test

## Terms specific to the present standard

1. capillary driven loop (CDL)

TPL in which fluid circulation is accomplished by capillary action

1. See TPL definition in 3.2.21.
2. capillary pumped loop (CPL)

CDL with the fluid reservoir separated from the evaporator and without a capillary link to the evaporator

1. See CDL definition in 3.2.1.
2. constant conductance heat pipe (CCHP)

heat pipe with a fixed thermal conductance between evaporator and condenser at a given saturation temperature

1. See heat pipe definition in 3.2.7.
2. dry-out

depletion of liquid in the evaporator section at high heat input when the capillary pressure gain becomes lower than the pressure drop in the circulating fluid

1. effective length

heat pipe length between middle of evaporator and middle of condenser for configurations with one evaporator and one condenser only

1. Used to determine the heat pipe transport capability (see 3.2.10).
2. exposure temperature range

maximum temperature range to which a TPHTE is exposed during its product life cycle and which is relevant for thermo-mechanical qualification

* 1. 1 The internal pressure at the maximum temperature of this range defines the MDP for the pressure vessel qualification of a TPHTE.
  2. 2 The extreme temperatures of this range can be below freezing or above critical temperatures of the working fluid.
  3. 3 In other technical domains, this temperature range is typically called non-operating temperature range (see clause 4 for additional explanation).

1. heat pipe (HP)

TPHTE consisting of a single container with liquid and vapour passages arranged in such a way that the two fluid phases move in counter flow

* 1. 1 See TPHTE definition in 3.2.20.
  2. 2 The capillary structure in a heat pipe extends over the entire container length.

1. heat pipe diode (HPD)

heat pipe which transports heat based on evaporation and condensation only in one direction

1. See heat pipe definition in 3.2.7.
2. loop heat pipe (LHP)

CDL with the fluid reservoir as integral part of the evaporator

* 1. 1 See CDL definition in 3.2.1.
  2. 2 The reservoir can be separated, but has a capillary link to the evaporator.

1. heat transport capability

maximum amount of heat, which can be transported in a TPHTE from the evaporator to the condenser

1. For heat pipes it is the maximum heat load expressed in [Wm] (transported heat times effective length).
2. maximum design pressure (MDP)

maximum allowed pressure inside a TPHTE during product life cycle

1. mechanical pump driven loop (MPDL)

TPL in which fluid circulation is accomplished by a mechanical pump

1. See TPL definitions in 3.2.21.
2. product life cycle

product life starting from the delivery of the TPHTE hardware until end of service live

1. The product life cycle starts after acceptance of the product for flight.
2. reflux mode

operational mode where the liquid is returned from the condenser to the evaporator by gravitational forces and not by capillary forces

1. start-up

operational phase starting with initial supply of heat to the evaporator until nominal operating conditions of the device are established

1. sub-cooling

temperature difference between average CDL reservoir temperature and the temperature of the liquid line at the inlet to the reservoir

1. The average CDL reservoir temperature represents the saturation temperature inside the reservoir.
2. thermal performance temperature range

temperature range for which a TPHTE is thermally qualified

1. In the thermal performance temperature range a thermal performance map exists.
2. tilt for HP

height of the evaporator above the condenser during ground testing

* 1. 1 This definition is valid for a configuration with one evaporator and one condenser (see Figure 3‑1).
  2. 2 The tilt is measured from the highest point to the lowest point in Figure 3‑1.



Figure 3‑1: Tilt definition for HP

1. tilt for LHP

height of the evaporator above the reservoir during ground testing

* 1. 1 See Figure 3‑2.
  2. 2 The tilt is measured from the highest point of the evaporator to the lowest point of the condenser in Figure 3‑2.



Figure 3‑2: Tilt definition for LHP

1. two-phase heat transport equipment (TPHTE)

hermetically closed system filled with a working fluid and transporting thermal energy by a continuous evaporation and condensation process using the latent heat of the fluid

* 1. 1 A fluid evaporates in the heat input zone (evaporator) and condenses in the heat output zone (condenser).
  2. 2 This is in contrast to a single-phase loop where the sensible heat of a liquid is transported (a liquid heats up in the heat input zone and cools down in the heat output zone).

1. two-phase loop (TPL)

TPHTE with physically separated vapour and liquid transport lines forming a closed loop

1. See TPHTE definition in 3.2.20.
2. variable conductance heat pipe (VCHP)

heat pipe with an additional non-condensable gas reservoir allowing a variable thermal conductance between evaporator and condenser

* 1. 1 See heat pipe definition in 3.2.7.
  2. 2 The variation in thermal conductance is generally accomplished by regulating the volume of a non-condensable gas plug reaching into the condenser zone, which in turn varies the effective condenser length.
  3. 3 The variation of the gas volume can be performed by active or passive means.

## Abbreviated terms

The following abbreviations are defined and used within this standard:

| Abbreviation | Meaning |
| --- | --- |
| CCHP | constant conductance heat pipe |
| CDL | capillary driven loop |
| CPL | capillary pumped loop |
| CTE | coefficient of thermal expansion |
| DRD | document requirements definition |
| HP | heat pipe |
| HPD | heat pipe diode |
| LBB | leak before burst |
| LHP | loop heat pipe |
| MDP | maximum design pressure |
| MPDL | mechanical pump driven loop |
| MSPE | metallic special pressurized equipment |
| NDI | non-destructive inspection |
| PH | pressurized hardware |
| ROD | review-of-design |
| Qmax | maximum heat transport capability |
| SPE | special pressurized equipment |
| TCS | thermal control (sub)system |
| TPHTE | two-phase heat transport equipment |
| TPL | two-phase loop |
| TS | technical requirement specification |
| VCHP | variable conductance heat pipe |
| VP | verification plan |

## Nomenclature

The following nomenclature applies throughout this document:

1. The word “shall” is used in this Standard to express requirements. All the requirements are expressed with the word “shall”.
2. The word “should” is used in this Standard to express recommendations. All the recommendations are expressed with the word “should”.
3. It is expected that, during tailoring, recommendations in this document are either converted into requirements or tailored out.
4. The words “may” and “need not” are used in this Standard to express positive and negative permissions, respectively. All the positive permissions are expressed with the word “may”. All the negative permissions are expressed with the words “need not”.
5. The word “can” is used in this Standard to express capabilities or possibilities, and therefore, if not accompanied by one of the previous words, it implies descriptive text.
6. In ECSS “may” and “can” have completely different meanings: “may” is normative (permission), and “can” is descriptive.
7. The present and past tenses are used in this Standard to express statements of fact, and therefore they imply descriptive text.

# TPHTE verification principles

## TPHTE categorization

TPHTE as defined in 3.2.20 of this Standard are considered ‘special pressurized equipment’ (SPE), as per definition of ECSS-E-ST-32-02. Requirements of ECSS-E-ST-32-02 are included in this Standard for this reason.

The TPHTE are categorized in Figure 4‑1 according to their design and functional principle.

HP’s, LHP’s and CPL’s are categorized as MSPE (Metallic Special Pressurized Equipment) as described in section 4.1.2 ECSS‐E‐ST‐32‐02

Heat pipes consist of a single container with a capillary structure extending over the entire container length. Liquid and vapour passages are arranged in such a way that the two fluid phases move in counter flow.

Capillary driven loops (CDL) have separate evaporator and condenser sections, which are connected by dedicated vapour and liquid tubing. At least one capillary structure is located in the evaporator section, which serves as capillary pump to circulate the fluid in a true loop configuration.

The mechanically pumped two-phase loop (MPDL) has a configuration, which is similar to the CDL, except that the circulation of the fluid is accomplished by a mechanical pump.

1. Requirements for MPDL are not included in the present version of this Standard.

## Involved organizations

The verification process of TPHTE is generally carried out by a specialized equipment manufacturer (called in this document “supplier”) and controlled by the verification authority (called in this document the “customer”).

The verification activity is embedded in the supplier’s product assurance and quality organization and in most cases the supplier's quality assurance plan has been established and approved for space activities independently from the TPHTE verification process specified in this document. It is the task of the supplier’s PA authority to introduce and approve adequate product assurance provisions at his subcontractor(s). The existence of an approved PA Plan is precondition for commencing verification activities.




Figure 4‑1: Categories of TPHTE (two-phase heat transport equipment)

## Generic requirements in this standard

The present document provides generic, i.e. not project specific requirements for formal verification of TPHTE. It is therefore important to select overall and enveloping verification requirements in order to support a maximum of spacecraft application without the need for delta verification.

## TPHTE qualification principles

### Processes, number of qualification units

The qualification of TPHTE is based on validated manufacturing processes (e.g. cleaning, surface treatment, welding and leak testing) and covers in general the following areas:

* Performance over long operation time (compatibility between fluid and wall material, space radiation, leak tightness)
* Mechanical performance (strength, pressurized hardware)
* Thermal performance (e.g. heat transport capability, start-up behaviour, heat transfer coefficients)

In this context the number of TPHTE units to be produced for the qualification program are evaluated and selected by the supplier. There are no general applicable sources, which specify the minimum of units to be used to undergo identical qualification testing in order to achieve a successful qualified product. The question to be answered for each TPHTE configuration is: how many identical units need to be built and tested in order to validate the production process.

The following are possible selection criteria:

* Experience of the manufacturer in production of similar products,
* Simplicity of the configuration,
* TPHTE design features, which have inherent capability for good repeatability of the production processes (e.g. simple axial grooved heat pipes).

This Standard specifies the number of needed identical pieces of equipment (i.e. coming from the same batch) submitted to the qualification process.

Compared to full qualification of a new product the number of units can be reduced for delta qualification of an existing but modified product. (see Table 5‑1 for details).

### Thermal and mechanical qualification

#### Temperature range

In contrast to most of electronic equipment, the performance of a TPHTE varies with its operating temperature, because properties of the used heat carrier are temperature dependent. For heat pipes as an example, important fluid properties can be grouped into a figure-of-merit (G), which is the product of surface tension, heat of vaporization and liquid density divided by the liquid viscosity (for more information see references in Bibliography). G is plotted for some fluids over the temperature in Figure 4‑2. The heat transport capability of a capillary pumped loop is proportional to these curves.




Figure 4‑2: Figure-of-merit (G) for some TPHTE fluids

Generally, the **applicable temperature range** of a TPHTE is subdivided into a thermally and a mechanically relevant regime.

The **thermal performance temperature range**, which is used for thermal qualification, is defined within the theoretical operating temperature range, confined by the freezing and the critical temperature of the used fluid. Lower and upper temperature limits of the qualification range are selected in such a way that a useful map of thermal performance data can be established. Within this range the maximum transport capability for qualification is determined. For a specific space application the operating temperature range (within the thermal performance temperature range) and the maximum required heat transport capability are specified.

For thermo-mechanical qualification the temperature range, to which the device is exposed to during the life cycle, is relevant. In most cases this **exposure temperature range** is wider than the mentioned thermal performance temperature range. The minimum temperature of this range can be below the freezing temperature of the used heat carrier and it is important to take into account possible damage caused by the freezing or thawing effects. The upper exposure temperature can be even above the critical temperature of the heat carrier. This temperature determines in general the maximum internal pressure for design and qualification of the device.

The mentioned temperature ranges and associated heat transport capabilities are illustrated in Figure 4‑3.




Figure 4‑3: Definition of temperature and performance ranges for a HP

#### Mechanical qualification

TPHTE are classified as Metallic Special Pressurized Equipment (MSPE) and relevant mechanical requirements are specified in ECSS-ST-E-32-02 and are applied in the present Standard for all TPHTE types.

For qualification of a TPHTE as pressurized component, the main characteristic is the internal pressure, which varies in relation to the exposure temperature of the unit (temperature dependent saturation pressure of the heat carrier liquid).

ECSS-ST-E-32-02 specifies qualification requirements for heat pipes (see figure 4.12 and Table 4-8 of ECSS-E-ST-32-02). The present Standard selects qualification requirements for TPHTE, which have seen proof pressure tests ≥ 1,5 MDP. Testing is the preferred method rather than qualification by fracture control analysis.

For qualifying a TPHTE with respect to external mechanical environment the following mechanical tests are considered:

* Constant or static acceleration
* Sine vibration
* Random vibration

For these tests the qualification unit needs to be rigidly mounted to the test equipment (vibration table). However, such mounting provisions can have only reduced similarity to real applications in spacecraft and the meaningfulness of such tests is, therefore, very often reason for discussion under experts. For heat pipes it is common understanding not to perform these tests on long heat pipe profiles for the following reasons:

* The length of the test heat pipe is adapted to the test equipment and is therefore shorter as in many realistic spacecraft applications.
* The application of heat pipe is often for embedding them in sandwich structures. Mechanical loads for these applications are quite different as can be simulated with a rigidly fixed single heat pipe profile.
* Several capillary structures, in particular axial groove heat pipes, are quite insensitive to mechanical loads and tests as suggested in existing procedures can be unnecessary.

For many TPHTE applications (in particular for devices with simple capillary structures, e.g. axial grooves) the formal mechanical qualification can be therefore performed with the first structural model on satellite level. In case the risk for such a late qualification is high, pre-qualification can be performed on unit or part level in particular for the following cases:

* The TPHTE, in particular a heat pipe, has a capillary structure, which is sensitive towards mechanical loads, e.g. arterial wick. In such a case a short piece of the heat pipe profile is selected for mechanical qualification testing (sine, random vibration).
* An evaporator of a LHP or CPL can be separately tested (sine, random vibration) to verify that mechanical requirements are met.
* Equally this can be true for a two-phase loop condenser, in particular for configurations where the condenser tubing is embedded into a structural panel.

Therefore the Standard does not specify at which model level vibration testing is performed. The supplier and customer are asked to agree on a logical qualification plan, which can include testing at higher than equipment level.

## TPHTE acceptance principles

The acceptance process demonstrates that the already qualified product is free of workmanship errors and is ready for subsequent operational use.

Verification is performed by inspection and testing and includes:

* Material conformity (for example inspection of certificates, proof pressure, leak check)
* Inspection of dimensions and flatness
* Thermal performance check

The design of hardware submitted to the acceptance procedure has been previously qualified. However, some application driven design modifications can be accepted as long as the following qualification relevant design features are not compromised:

* Material selection and compatibility
* Pressurized component
* Thermal performance

Some examples are given in Table 4‑1.

It is good practice to perform a similarity analysis to determine that the hardware, submitted to the acceptance process, is of qualified design.

Table 4‑1: Examples of allowed design modifications for acceptance hardware

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Qualification relevant design feature | Mandatory design feature for acceptance hardware | Allowed design modification for acceptance hardware |
| Material selection and compatibility | Wall material and fluid combination;  Operating temperatures | No exception |
| Pressurized component | Minimum wall thickness and inner diameter of evaporator, fluid lines, condenser;  Operating temperatures | Attachment design (configuration of external flanges);  For HP: Length, bend radius larger than qualified one;  For CPL: Length of evaporator and reservoir |
| Thermal performance | Capillary structure | Length, number and distribution of evaporator and condenser zones in CCHP  Length of fluid and condenser lines in TPL |

# Requirements for qualification activity

## Technical specification (TS)

### General

The qualification process shall be based on a technical specification, approved by the customer.

1. Usually the technical specification evolves from the functional requirements of the customer and defines the technical performances for the proposed solution as part of a business agreement.

The technical specification specified in 5.1.1a shall be written in accordance with DRD in ECSS-E-ST-10-06 Annex A.

### Requirements to the TS

The specification shall be identifiable, referable and related to a TPHTE product.

The following entity shall be responsible for the TS:

the supplier for a generic TPHTE specification, which is not related to a specific application;

the customer for a specific TPHTE specification, which is related to a specific application.

1. A delta qualification can be necessary, if the generic specification does not completely meet the requirements for a specific application.

For technical requirements organization, requirements 7.2.3b to 7.2.3e of ECSS-E-ST-10-06 shall apply.

<<deleted>>

<<deleted>>

<<deleted>>

For technical reference, requirement 7.2.4a of ECSS-E-ST-10-06 shall apply.

For configuration management, requirement 7.2.5a of ECSS-E-ST-10-06 shall apply.

Quantity of units required for the qualification process shall be specified in the TS.

For restriction to requirement specification, requirement 7.2.8a of ECSS-E-ST-10-06 shall apply.

### Requirements for formulating technical requirements

For performance specification, requirement 8.2.1a of ECSS-E-ST-10-06 shall apply.

To avoid ambiguity in a specification, requirement 8.2.4a of ECSS-E-ST-10-06 shall apply.

To ensure the uniqueness of a specification, requirement 8.2.5a of ECSS-E-ST-10-06 shall apply.

To ensure the identification of a specification, requirement 8.2.6b of ECSS-E-ST-10-06 shall apply.

To ensure the singularity of a specification, requirement 8.2.7a of ECSS-E-ST-10-06 shall apply

To ensure that a specification is verifiable, requirement 5.1.3f of ECSS-E-ST-10-06 shall apply.

To ensure that a specification includes the tolerance, requirement 5.1.3g of ECSS-E-ST-10-06 shall apply.

For general formatting of requirements in a specification, clause 8.3.1 of ECSS-E-ST-10-06 shall apply.

<<deleted>>

The verbal form for the wording of specification requirements shall be compliant with requirements 8.3.2a to 8.3.2d of ECSS-E-ST-10-06.

<<deleted>>

<<deleted>>

<<deleted>>

The restrictions on the format of specification requirements shall be compliant with clause 8.3.3 of ECSS-E-ST-10-06.

## Materials, parts and processes

Materials, parts and processes for TPHTE to be qualified shall be documented in the following lists (see Table 5‑7):

Declared materials list

Declared mechanical parts list

Declared processes list

## General qualification requirements

### Qualification process

The qualification stage shall be completed before launch.

### Supporting infrastructure – Tools and test equipment

Tools to be used to support the qualification process shall be validated for their intended use.

The validation shall be performed under expected environmental conditions and operational constraints.

Compatibility of tools and test equipment interfaces with flight qualification hardware shall be verified by test.

Calibration of laboratory equipment shall be verified prior to their use.

Tools and test equipment that is modified and used in a new application shall be re-verified according to requirements 5.3.2a to 5.3.2d.

Test facilities, tools and instrumentation shall be designed to avoid adverse effects on the qualification objectives.

1. Examples of these are: Thermocouples, strain gauges, heater mounting, cooling devices, support structures.

## Qualification process selection

The scope of the qualification process shall be adapted to the qualification heritage of the product.

For categorization of the heritage the product categories of Table 5‑1 shall be used.

The qualification process shall be structured according to Figure 5‑1.

Table 5‑1: Categories of two-phase heat transport equipment according to heritage (adapted from ECSS-E-ST-10-02C, Table 5-1)

| **Category** | **Description** | **Qualification programme** | ***Remarks related to the present Standard*** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **A** | Off-the-shelf product without modifications and   * The product is qualified against requirements at least as severe as those imposed by the actual technical specification * The product is produced by the same manufacturer and using identical tools and manufacturing processes | None |  |
| **B** | Off-the-shelf product without modifications.  However:   * The product is qualified against requirements less severe or different to those imposed by the actual technical specification   Or   * The product is produced by a different manufacturer or using different tools and manufacturing processes   Or   * The product has substitution parts and materials with equivalent reliability \*) | Delta qualification programme, decided on a case-by-case basis in agreement between the customer and the supplier | *This category relates for example to TPHTE hardware, which is identical to already qualified hardware but has been qualified to lower mechanical loads or narrower operating temperature ranges as required by an actual project.*  *The category relates also to situations, where TPHTE manufacturing technology is transferred from a qualified supplier to a new manufacturer.*  *\*) Any substitution parts and materials fulfilling the same procurement specification does not require delta-qualification.* |
| **C** | Off-the-shelf product with design modifications | Delta or full qualification programme, decided on a case-by-case basis depending on the impact of the modification in agreement between the customer and the supplier | *Examples for category C are:*  *Heat pipes with identical capillary structure but different diameters, smaller bent radius,*  *CDL with different fluid line configurations or dimensions or different condenser configurations (radiator lay out).* |
| **D** | New designed and developed product. | Full qualification programme. | *Applicable for any new developed TPHTE, including existing systems with new capillary structures or material combinations.* |

**Delta qualification programme**

**(Clause 5.5.4.2)**

**or Full qualification programme**

**(Clause 5.5.4.1)**

**Similarity analysis (req. 5.5.3.3.1a)**

**Similarity analysis (req. 5.5.3.3.1a)**

**No qualification programme required**

**Delta qualification programme**

**(Clause 5.5.4.2)**

**No**

**No**

**No**

**Yes**

**Yes**

**Yes**

**Full qualification**

**programme**

**(Clause 5.5.4.1)**

**Category A equipment?**

**Category B equipment?**

**Category C equipment?**

**Category D**

**equipment**

**Review-of-design (Clause 5.5.3.4)**

**TPHTE to be qualified**

Figure 5‑1: Selection of qualification process

## Qualification stage

### Qualification requirements

<<deleted>>

When a requirement is verified by qualification at lower level, the traceability to the lower level verification evidence shall be provided.

* 1. 1 This concerns manufacturing processes as well as parts, materials and sub-units of a TPHTE.
  2. 2 Qualification is aimed at demonstrating that the design of the TPHTE meets the requirements of the technical specification. The qualification can be supported by in-orbit demonstration to verify requirements, which are affected by zero-g environment.

Formal close-out of qualification at lower level shall be performed prior to close-out at higher level.

### Quality audits

The supplier shall allow quality audits in support to the qualification process in accordance with EN 9100-2009.

Quality audits shall be conducted such that the supplier’s know-how and proprietary data are protected.

1. As a general rule, audits are performed by quality assurance personnel of the customer and not by experts in the field.

### Qualification methods

#### Overview

A verification plan (VP) shall be prepared in conformance with the DRD in ECSS-E-ST-10-02 Annex A and agreed with the customer.

The qualification of TPHTE shall be accomplished by one or more of the following verification methods:

Test as specified in 5.5.3.2.

Analysis, as specified in 5.5.3.3.

Review-of-design, as specified in 5.5.3.4.

Inspection, as specified in 5.5.3.5.

1. Test includes demonstration. Analysis includes similarity.

The verification plan shall define the qualification methods.

#### Test

Verification by test shall be compliant with requirement 5.2.2.2a of ECSS-E-ST-10-02.

For the verification of safety critical functions clause 8.4.1 of ECSS-Q-ST-40 shall apply.

Qualification shall be carried out on hardware representative of the end item in terms of design, materials, tooling and methods.

TPHTE subject to qualification test shall be manufactured applying validated processes.

#### Analysis

##### General

Verification by analysis shall be in accordance with 5.2.2.3 of ECSS-E-ST-10-02.

Analysis shall be performed to predict specified performance parameter of the TPHTE.

Analytical prediction results shall be correlated with qualification test results.

1. Result correlations lead to software tool validation, which can reduce follow-on qualification processes.

Discrepancies between analytical prediction and test results shall be analysed in order to demonstrate that the objective of the qualification is not compromised.

Mechanical performance analysis and test prediction shall be documented in conformance with Annex J and Annex Q of ECSS-E-ST-32.

1. Analysis and test prediction can be split in two documents.

Thermal performance analysis and test prediction shall be documented in conformance with Annex C of ECSS-E-ST-31.

1. Analysis and test prediction can be split in two documents.

##### Similarity

<<deleted and moved into requirement 5.5.3.3.1a>>

<<deleted and moved into requirement 5.5.3.3.1a>>

#### Review-of-design (ROD)

Verification by ROD shall be in accordance with 5.2.2.4 of ECSS-E-ST-10-02.

Verification by ROD shall be documented in a Review-of-Design report in conformance with the DRD in ECSS-E-ST-10-02 Annex D.

#### Inspection

Verification by Inspection shall be in accordance with 5.2.2.5 of ECSS-E-ST-10-02.

Verification by inspection shall be documented in an Inspection Report in conformance with the DRD in ECSS-E-ST-10-02 Annex E.

### Full and delta qualification programme

#### Full qualification programme

Equipment for which a full qualification programme is required as per Table 5‑1 shall be qualified by test according to clause 5.5.3.2 and 5.6 and by analysis according to clause 5.5.3.3.

#### Delta qualification programme

Equipment for which a delta qualification programme is required as per Table 5‑1 shall undergo a delta qualification programme, which is a subset of the full qualification programme of clause 5.5.4.1.

The delta qualification programme shall be selected on a case-by-case basis and based on the modifications to existing qualified hardware.

The delta qualification programme shall be agreed with the customer.

### Performance requirements

#### Generic requirements

The following generic performance characteristics of a TPHTE shall be determined and verified against specified data:

Ability to sustain the combination of the predicted worst mechanical loads:

External mechanical loads.

Internal loads due to the saturation pressure of the heat carrier fluid within the TPHTE exposure temperature range.

Thermo-mechanical loads due to temperature cycling and CTE mismatch within the TPHTE exposure temperature range.

Loads imposed by volume change due to freezing/thawing of the heat carrier within the TPHTE exposure temperature range.

Safe life item and fatigue-life demonstration

Safe life item demonstration, performed by analysis or test or both in conformance with ECSS-E-ST-32-01 for TPHTE not submitted to a proof pressure or for which the proof factor used in the proof pressure test is less than 1,5.

Fatigue-life demonstration, performed by analysis or test or both in conformance with ECSS-E-ST-32 for TPHTE for which the proof factor used in the proof pressure test is equal or larger than 1,5.

Thermal parameters:

Minimum and maximum heat transport capability over the TPHTE thermal performance temperature range.

Evaporator heat flux over the TPHTE thermal performance temperature range.

Heat transfer coefficient in the evaporator and condenser.

Overall thermal resistance of the device.

Operational characteristics

Maximum heat load applied in one step at discrete temperatures over the specified range.

Start-up behaviour from frozen conditions, if the exposure temperature range includes freezing of the working fluid.

For cryogenic TPHTE, start-up from the super-critical state of the working fluid.

Leak-before-burst.

Lifetime performance:

Long-term compatibility between fluid and wetted materials (materials in contact with the fluid).

Space radiation effects in order to demonstrate that fluid de-composition does not adversely affect specified TPHTE performance during the product life cycle.

1. To item 3.(a): For heat pipes only the maximum heat transport capability is of interest.

#### Specific requirements

For CCHP the following specific performance characteristics shall be determined and verified against specified data:

Reduction of transport capability due to heat pipe bending at the minimum specified radius.

Reduction of transport capability due to tilt (see Figure 3‑1).

1. To item 1: The minimum bending radius is defined by the supplier.

For VCHP, the following specific performance characteristics shall be determined and verified against specified data:

The characteristics specified in 5.5.5.2a,

Maximum transport capability in fully-on conditions,

Heat leak from condenser to evaporator in off-mode,

Thermal resistance between condenser and reservoir,

Ability to regulate the evaporator temperature with passive and active methods.

1. Passive methods include devices with non-heated gas reservoirs, active methods include devices with heated/cooled gas reservoirs.

For HP Diode, the following specific performance characteristics shall be determined and verified against specified data.

The characteristics specified in 5.5.5.2a,

Maximum heat transport capability in forward mode,

Time and energy to move from forward to reverse mode,

Time and energy to move from reverse to forward mode,

Heat leak from condenser to evaporator in reverse mode.

For CDL, the following specific performance characteristics shall be determined and verified against specified data

Minimum heat load applied under which start-up is possible over the specified temperature range,

Sensitivity of the minimum heat load in relation to the thermal mass attached to the evaporator,

Minimum heat load applied under which nominal operation is possible over the specified temperature range,

Sub-cooling conditions to guarantee specified performance,

Impact on performance due to tilt and adverse elevation,

Heat leak from condenser to evaporator in off-mode,

Ability to regulate the evaporator temperature with passive and active methods.

* 1. 1 Passive methods include devices with passive regulation (by-pass) valves in TPL. Active methods include devices with heated/cooled liquid reservoirs, heated regulation valves and TPLs with thermo-electric cooler (TEC) on the liquid reservoir.
  2. 2 For 5.5.5.2d.5, a tilt is depicted in Figure 3‑2: adverse elevation means that the evaporator is above the condenser.

## Qualification test programme

### Number of qualification units

The number of TPHTE units submitted to the qualification programme test units shall be in accordance with Figure 5‑2 and Figure 5‑3.

### Test sequence

Equipment for which a full qualification programme is required as per Table 5‑1 shall be verified by qualification testing according to the test sequence as defined in Figure 5‑2 for HP and Figure 5‑3 for CDL.

For an equipment where a delta qualification programme is required as per Table 5‑1, the supplier shall derive from the test sequence of Figure 5‑2 for HP and Figure 5‑3 for CDL a reduced test sequence for delta qualification.

The delta qualification sequence shall be agreed with the customer.



Figure 5‑2: Qualification test sequence for HP



Figure 5‑3: Qualification test sequence for CDL

### Test requirements

#### Test specification and reviews

Before starting the qualification test campaign the following preconditions shall be met:

Establishing of a test specification in conformance with the DRD in ECSS-E-ST-10-03 Annex B,

Establishing of test procedures in conformance with the DRD in ECSS-E-ST-10-03 Annex C, and

Conductance of test readiness review.

At completion of the test sequence a post-test review shall be conducted.

Test documentation shall be agreed with the customer.

#### Test conditions

##### Test tolerances

The test tolerances specified in Table 5‑2 shall be applied to the nominal test values specified.

For the purpose of 5.6.3.2.1a, test tolerances shall include test instrumentation accuracy.

1. The tolerances specified in Table 5‑2 are the allowable ranges within which the test parameters can vary. The values in the table are inclusive of instrumentation accuracy.

Table 5‑2: Allowable tolerances

| Test parameters | Tolerances |
| --- | --- |
| 1. Temperature | Low High |
| above +100 °C |  |
| -73 °C < T ≤ +100 °C |  |
| -100 K (-173 °C) < T ≤ 200 K (-73 °C) | ± 2 K (2 °C) |
| 40 K (-233 °C) < T ≤ 100 K (-173 °C) | ± 1 K (1 °C) |
| 10 K (-263 °C) ≤ T ≤ 40 K (-233 °C) | < 0,5 K (0,5 °C) |
| T< 10 K (-263 °C) | Tolerance to be defined case by case |
| 2. Relative humidity | ± 10 % |
| 3. Pressure (in vacuum chamber) |  |
| > 1,3 hPa | ± 15 % |
| 1,3 10-3 hPa to 1,3 hPa | ± 30 % |
| < 1,3 10-3 hPa | ± 80 % |
| 4. Acceleration | -0 / +10 % |
| 5. Static Load | -0 / +10 % |
| 6. Sinusoidal vibration |  |
| Frequency (20 Hz to 2000 Hz) | ± 2 % |
| Amplitude | ± 10 % |
| Sweep rate (Oct/min) | ± 5 % |
| 7. Random vibration |  |
| Frequency | ± 5 % (or 1 Hz whichever is greater) |
| Amplitude (PSD) |  |
| 20 Hz - 100 Hz (max control bandwidth 10 Hz) | -1/ +3 dB |
| 100 Hz - 1000 Hz (10 % of midband frequency) | -1/ +3 dB |
| 1000 Hz - 2000 Hz (max control bandwidth 100 Hz) | ± 3,0 dB |
|  |
| Random overall g r.m.s. | ± 10% |
| 8. Acoustic noise |  |
| Sound pressure level, Octave band centre (Hz) | Test tolerances (dB) |
| 31,5 | -2/+4 |
| 63 | -1/+3 |
| 125 | -1/+3 |
| 250 | -1/+3 |
| 500 | -1/+3 |
| 1000 | -1/+3 |
| 2000 | -1/+3 |
| Overall | -1/+3 |
| 9. Microvibration susceptibility |  |
| Quasi-static force or torque | ± 5 % To be related to the external forces that are applied to extrapolate the transfer functions |
| Dynamic forces | ±10 % To be related to the external forces that are applied to extrapolate the transfer functions |
| Sound-power (1/3 octave band centre frequency) |  |
| 32,5 Hz - 160 Hz | ±3 dB |
| 160 Hz – 10000 Hz | ±2 dB |
| 10. Shock |  |
| Response spectrum amplitude (1/12 octave centre frequency) |  |
| Shock level |  |
| ≤ 3000 Hz | - 3, + 6 dB |
| ≥ 3000 Hz | - 3, + 9 dB |
| Shock duration |  |
| ≤ 20 ms | 0/+ 20 % |
| > 20 ms | 0/+ 10 % |
| 11. Solar flux |  |
| in reference plane | ± 4 % of the set value |
| In reference volume | ± 6 % of the set value |
| 12. Infrared flux |  |
| Mean value | ± 3 % on reference plane(s) |
| 13. Test time | -0/+10 % |

##### Measurement accuracy

The accuracy of test instrumentation shall be verified in accordance with approved calibration procedures.

All test instrumentation shall be within the normal calibration period at the time of the test.

Table 5‑3: Measurement accuracy

| Test parameters | Accuracy |
| --- | --- |
| 1. Mass | ± 0,1 % |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| 2. Centre of gravity (CoG) | Within a 1 mm radius sphere |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| 3. Moment of inertia (MoI) | ± 3 % |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| 4. Leak rate | ± 10-5 Pa m3 s-1 of Helium at 1013 hPa pressure differential or at least a factor of two with respect to the leak rate to be measured. |

##### Test results

Test results shall be monitored and compared across major test sequences for trends or evidence of anomalous behaviour of the test set up.

Test reports shall be established for each test performed in conformance with the DRD in ECSS-E-ST-10-02 Annex C.

#### Test data management

Performance test, leak test and long duration test results shall be used to perform trend analysis to detect long-term gradually increasing defects and failures.

### Physical properties measurement

The following properties of the test unit shall be measured, recorded and compared to specification in relevant drawings:

Completeness of configuration,

Materials as specified,

Dimension of unit and interfaces,

Mass,

Flatness of heat input and heat output zones,

Fluid amount from manufacturing records,

Centre of gravity,

Momentum of inertia.

1. The determination of centre of gravity and moment of inertia can be performed by analysis.

For the physical properties measurement the test unit shall not include test specific items.

### Proof pressure test

Proof pressure test shall be performed applying 1,5 times MDP as specified in ECSS-E-ST-32-02 for duration of 15 minutes.

1. During manufacturing processes (e.g. curing of panels with embedded heat pipes) the pressure can be higher than MDP, as long as the unit material stays in the elastic domain.

Pressure shall be generated on the sealed unit by increasing the temperature of the unit and thus the saturation pressure of the heat carrier fluid.

* 1. 1 This test is called hot proof pressure test.
  2. 2 When the pressure target leads to a temperature higher than the critical temperature then the cold pressure test (before unit sealing) can be considered to reach the proof pressure requirement and a reduced proof factor can be accepted for the hot pressure test on the sealed unit.

In cases where proof pressure test is not feasible, margins against mechanical failure shall be verified by analysis in accordance with ECSS-E-ST-32-02.

### Pressure cycle test

The pressure cycle test shall consist of 2000 cycles between 1 bar and MDP at ambient temperature.

The supplier shall analyse the number of cycles above the 2000 cycles, to which the TPHTE can be exposed before reaching the Woehler curve.

### Burst pressure test

Burst pressure test shall be performed applying 2,5 times MDP for duration of 15 minutes.

No rupture or leak shall occur during burst pressure test.

Burst pressure test shall be carried out with burst pressure samples manufactured from the same material batch and according to processes, which are identical to the ones used for the functional qualification unit.

Burst pressure samples shall include all features of the flight configuration.

1. For example representative bends, welds and joints.

Burst pressure test shall be performed at the maximum exposure temperature by increasing the internal pressure until the required burst pressure is reached.

1. Burst pressure testing at lower temperatures can be performed, when a factor corresponding to differences in material properties between test and maximum exposure temperature is taken into account.

After the 15 minutes hold-time, the pressure shall be further increased until rupture occurs and the pressure at rupture shall be recorded.

If burst pressure tests are performed at sub-unit level, the burst pressure test processes shall include all parts of the product including all joints, welds, end fittings.

1. For example, if it is performed at the level of the evaporator or reservoir of a TPL.

### Leak test

Leak test shall be performed at ambient temperature using a detection method agreed with the customer.

The maximum leak rates versus pressure values shall be established through a detailed analysis such that operation of the system is ensured throughout the specified lifetime.

Leak rate of all TPHTE hardware shall conform to the level defined in 5.6.8b.

1. Pressurized hardware containing hazardous fluids reach end of safe-life when leakage occurs.

During the leak test, the pressure level shall be maintained for 30 minutes as a minimum.

### Thermal performance test

#### General

Thermal performance test results, obtained under 1-g conditions, shall be correlated to predicted in-orbit performance.

For thermal performance tests at ambient conditions, it shall be demonstrated that the conditions specified in 5.6.9.1a do not have an influence on in-orbit performance.

1. For a specific application the customer can require a thermal performance test in vacuum.

During ground test the unit shall be insulated and the remaining heat exchange with the environment shall be determined.

When establishing the maximum heat transport capability, the vapour temperature shall be varied in increments within the specified operational temperature range such that a performance over temperature curve is generated.

For each temperature step, the temperatures along the length of the unit shall be measured and recorded.

Maximum performance shall be declared, when temperature excursions in the evaporator indicate the beginning of a non-nominal operational condition.

1. Temperature excursions are generally caused by dry-out conditions in the evaporator.

Temperature readings during performance testing in combination with the applied heat load, corrected for heat exchange with the environment, shall be used to determine heat transfer coefficients in the evaporator and the condenser.

Performance shall be measured as function of the orientation of evaporator versus condenser in the gravitational field.

Test evaluation and data correlation shall be performed for each test and documented in a TCS analysis report in conformance with the DRD in Annex C of ECSS-E-ST-31.

#### Specific tests for CCHP

##### Maximum heat transport capability

Maximum heat transport capability shall be measured with

Straight HP.

Bent HP.

Uniform heat input and output.

One-sided heat input and one-sided heat output, as follows:

combination of heat input on top of the HP and heat output on bottom of the HP (with respect to gravity),

combination of heat input on bottom of the HP and heat output on top of the HP (with respect to gravity), and

side heat input and opposite side heat output.

The supplier shall define performance degradation at the minimum allowed bending radius.

##### Performance under tilt

The maximum heat transport capability shall be measured for tilt heights from zero to a value at which the heat transport capability falls to a value <5 % of the maximum heat transport capability (at the test temperature).

Measurement shall be performed at sufficient tilt intervals as to create a smooth curve of performance over tilt height.

Test results at different tilt heights shall be extrapolated to zero tilt.

1. The graphical extrapolation of tilt performance to zero tilt (horizontal position) is assumed to be the zero-g (in-orbit) performance.

##### Start-up test

A start-up test at the minimum thermal performance temperature by applying 50 % of the maximum heat load specified at that temperature shall be performed and the time until nominal operation shall be determined.

##### Start-up procedure

After a full depriming (emptying the capillary structure), a start-up procedure shall be determined.

##### Performance in reflux mode

The heat transfer coefficients in the evaporator and condenser areas shall be derived as a function of power input and temperature.

Test shall be performed by applying power at to the bottom of the liquid pool and measuring the temperature profile along the pipe.

#### Specific tests for VCHP

For VCHP, the following tests shall be performed:

The tests specified in clause 5.6.9.2.1 to 5.6.9.2.4.

Aging tests before charging the device with the control gas.

Maximum heat transport capability with the gas front located between condenser and reservoir.

Residual conductance with the gas front between condenser and evaporator.

#### Specific tests for HP Diode

For HP Diode, the following tests shall be performed:

The tests specified in clause 5.6.9.2.1 to 5.6.9.2.4.

A test to determine the time-to-shutdown of the HP Diode, according to the following sequence:

apply pre-defined heat loads to the nominal condenser;

vary the vapour temperature in suitable increments within the specified operational temperature range in order to generate a smooth performance over temperature curve;

ensure that the pre-defined heat loads are 10 %, 30 %, 50 % and 80 % of the derived Qmax in nominal mode for the specific heat pipe profile;

derive the energy needed for shutdown for each of the above tests.

A test to determine the time to start-up the heat pipe diode, according to the following sequence:

apply pre-defined heat loads to the nominal evaporator;

ensure that the pre-defined heat loads are 10 %, 30 %, 50 % and 80 % of the derived Qmax in nominal mode for the specific heat pipe profile.

#### Specific tests for CDL

Start-up with low power shall be verified by testing with and without thermal inertia on the evaporator heater system.

The specified performance of a CDL shall be verified under the following test conditions:

Simulation of large heat load variations (increase and decrease of heat load).

Simulation of large condenser temperature variations.

Different orientations with respect to gravity including tilt for LHP.

Different parasitic heat inputs in the liquid line and into the reservoir.

1. For 5.6.9.5b.3: for the tilt definition see also Figure 3‑2.

### Mechanical tests

#### General

Sinusoidal, random vibration and shock tests shall be performed on higher than unit level, if the supplier in agreement with the customer demonstrates that tests at unit level produce unrealistic results.

1. Tests are generally not meaningful for long heat pipes which are later embedded in structural panels and for CDL, for which the configuration in the intended application cannot be represented on unit level (for example: large distance between evaporator and condenser).

Sinusoidal, random vibration and shock tests shall be performed on component level in order to verify critical details of the device.

* 1. 1 Examples are: evaporator and condenser of a LHP, reservoir.
  2. 2 A deflection test to replace sinusoidal and random testing can be agreed with the customer. For example: TPHTE with capillary structures, which have an inherent characteristic to be damaged by mechanical loads.

Sinusoidal and random vibration tests shall be performed for the three orthogonal axes of the device.

Sinusoidal and random vibration tests shall be performed at the maximum internal pressure, which the device is exposed to during ascent.

A resonance search shall be performed before and after the sinusoidal and random vibration test to determine resonance frequencies, as specified in Table 5‑4.

If meaningful and agreed with the customer constant acceleration and acoustic tests shall be performed.

Table 5‑4: Equipment resonance search test levels

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Frequency (Hz) | Level | Sweep rate |
| 5 to 2 000 | 0,5 g | 2 octave per min |

#### Sinusoidal vibration

The test levels and duration for the sinusoidal vibration test, for generic equipment qualification, independent of the launcher or space element, shall be as specified in Table 5‑5.

Table 5‑5: Sinusoidal vibration qualification test levels

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Frequency (Hz) | Level | First frequency > 100 Hz | First frequency ≤100 Hz |
| 5 to 21 | 11 mm (0 to peak) | No notching | With notching |
| 21 to 60 | 20 g (0 to peak) | No notching | With notching |
| 60-100 | 6 g (0 to peak) | No notching | With notching |

#### Random vibration

The test levels and duration for the random vibration test, for generic equipment qualification, independent of the launcher or space element, shall be as specified in Table 5‑6.

Table 5‑6: Random vibration qualification test levels

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Location | Duration | Levels | |
| Equipment located on external panela or with unknown location | Verticalb  2,5 min/axis | (20 - 100) Hz  (100 - 300) Hz  (300 - 2 000) Hz | +3 dB/octave  PSD(M)c = 0,12 g2/Hz × (M+ 20 kg)/(M + 1 kg)  -5 dB/octave |
|  | Lateralb  2,5 min/axis | (20 - 100) Hz  (100 - 300) Hz  (300 - 2 000) Hz | +3 dB/octave  PSD(M)c = 0,05 g2/Hz × (M+ 20 kg)/(M + 1 kg)  -5 dB/octave |
| Equipment not located on external panela | All axes  2,5 min/axis | (20 - 100) Hz  (100 - 300) Hz  (300 - 2 000) Hz | +3 dB/octave  PSD(M)c = 0,05 g2/Hz × (M+ 20 kg)/(M + 1 kg)  -5 dB/octave |
| a Panel directly excited by payload acoustic environment.  b Equipment vertical axis = perpendicular to fixation plane.  Equipment lateral axis = parallel to fixation plane.  c M = equipment mass in kg, PSD = Power Spectral Density in g2/Hz. | | | |

### Thermal cycle test

The thermal cycle test shall consist of 8 full cycles over the exposure temperature range with a hold-time of 1 hour.

1. Cycle tests of TPHTE can be performed under ambient conditions.

### Aging and life tests

TPHTE shall be operated in a long duration life test as specified in 5.6.12b in order to confirm the specified performance over the product life cycle.

1. Heat pipes are typically operated in reflux mode.

For qualification purpose of the device the duration of the life test shall be ≥ 8000 hours.

1. It is advisable that the life test is extended beyond the formal qualification programme.

Aging tests shall be performed in the functional test sequence as given in Figure 5‑2 with a duration of 300 hours.

Life and aging tests shall be performed at the maximum specified operation temperature.

The amount of produced non-condensable gas shall be determined according to 5.6.13 in periodic intervals agreed with the customer, with shorter intervals especially at the beginning for the life test.

Trend analyse according to 5.6.3.3 shall be performed in order to determine the non-condensable gas content at the end of the product life cycle.

The TPHTE supplier shall demonstrate that the non-condensable gas content expected at end of product life cycle does not violate the specified performance.

### Gas plug test

The gas plug test shall be performed under the following conditions:

Heat pipe mounted in reflux mode.

Condenser is instrumented with temperature sensors in short axial distances.

Periodic check of axial temperature profile of the condenser end at lowest operating temperature.

1. For 5.6.13a.1, this means that the orientation is vertical with evaporator at the lower end.

The non-condensable gas content shall be determined based on the measured temperature profile.

It shall be verified that non-condensable gas generation over the lifetime meets the specification for lifetime performance.

### Reduced thermal performance test

#### General

The reduced thermal performance test shall include the general tests specified in 5.6.9.1.

#### Specific CCHP

CCHP shall be tested according to requirements 5.6.9.2.1a.1, 5.6.9.2.1a.2, 5.6.9.2.1a.3 and 5.6.9.2.1a.4 for one selected configuration [(a), (b) or (c)].

For CCHP, the maximum heat transport capability shall be measured for one tilt between 2,5 mm and 4 mm at two temperatures to be agreed with the customer.

#### Specific VCHP

For VCHP, the following test shall be performed:

the test specified in 5.6.14.1 and 5.6.14.2;

Thermal performance at fully on condition.

#### Specific HP diode

For HP diode, tests shall be performed as specified in clause to 5.6.9.4 at one vapour temperature and with a heat load of 50 % of Qmax.

The test specified in 5.6.14.4a should be performed at 20 °C.

#### Specific CDL

Start-up with low and maximum power shall be verified for one condenser sink temperature and by simulation of flight-representative thermal inertia on the evaporator heater system.

## Operating procedures

Operating procedures shall be established for all TPHTE hardware.

The procedures specified in 5.7a shall be compatible with the safety requirements and personnel control requirements at the facility where the operations are conducted.

Step-by-step instructions shall be written with such a detail to unambiguously describe the operation.

## Storage

When TPHTE hardware is put into storage they shall be protected against:

exposure to adverse environments that can cause corrosion or degrade the material;

mechanical damages.

When TPHTE hardware is put into storage, induced stresses due to storage fixture constraints shall be avoided by storage fixture design.

## Documentation

### Documentation summary

Table 5‑7 presents a summary of all documents required by the present standard.

### Specific documentation requirements

The following documents shall be written and agreed with the customer before starting the qualification process.

technical requirements specification (TS);

verification plan (VP).

Test procedures shall be produced for each test performed.

1. Several procedures can be grouped in one document.

Test reports shall be produced for each test performed.

1. Several reports can be grouped in one document.

The design and manufacturing file of the qualification hardware shall be under configuration control and available for customer review.

The final outcome of the qualification process shall be documented in the verification report (VRPT) in conformance with the DRD in ECSS-E-ST-10-02 Annex F, and agreed with the customer.

1. A customer statement on the successful completion of the qualification process is recommended.

Table 5‑7: TPHTE documentation

| Title of DRD | DRD reference | Calling requirement in this standard and remarks |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Technical requirements specification | ECSS-E-ST-10-06 Annex A | Requirement 5.1.1b  NOTE: Requirement 5.1.1a requires that the customer approval is needed before starting the qualification process. |
| Verification plan | ECSS-E-ST-10-02 Annex A | Requirement 5.5.3.1a |
| Review-of-design report | ECSS-E-ST-10-02 Annex D | Requirement 5.5.3.4b |
| Inspection report | ECSS-E-ST-10-02 Annex E | Requirement 5.5.3.5b |
| Declared materials list | ECSS-Q-ST-70, Annex B | Requirement 5.2a.1 |
| Declared mechanical parts list | ECSS-Q-ST-70, Annex C | Requirement 5.2a.2 |
| Declared processes list | ECSS-Q-ST-70, Annex D | Requirement 5.2a.3 |
| Mechanical and thermal performance analysis and test prediction report | ECSS-E-ST-31, Annex C | Requirement 5.5.3.3.1e  NOTE: The report can be split in two documents. |
| Test specification | ECSS-E-ST-10-03 Annex B | Requirement 5.6.3.1a.1. |
| Test procedure | ECSS-E-ST-10-03 Annex C | Requirement 5.6.3.1a.2.  NOTE: Requirement 5.9.2b requires one procedure for each test. Several procedures can be grouped in one document. |
| Test report | ECSS-E-ST-10-02 Annex C | Requirement 5.6.3.2.3b.  NOTE: Requirement 5.9.2c requires one test report for each test. Several reports can be grouped in one document. |
| Test evaluation and data correlation report | ECSS-E-ST-31, Annex C | Requirement 5.6.9.1i |
| Verification report | ECSS-E-ST-10-02 Annex F | Requirement 5.9.2e  NOTE: This requirement requires that the Verification report is agreed with the customer. |

# Requirements for acceptance activity

## General

Only the TPHTE whose design has been previously qualified according to clause 5 shall be submitted to the acceptance.

A similarity analysis shall be performed to determine that design changes of the acceptance hardware do not change the qualification status.

1. See clause 4.5 for further explanation.

It shall be confirmed by analysis that the performance requirements of the intended application are covered by the previous qualification campaign.

## Acceptance process

### Materials, parts and processes

Materials, parts and processes for TPHTE to be accepted shall be documented in the following lists:

Declared materials list

Declared mechanical parts list

Declared processes list

1. See also Table 5‑7 listing the TPHTE documentation.

### General acceptance requirements

#### Acceptance process

The acceptance stage shall be completed before launch.

### Supporting infrastructure – Tools and test equipment

Tools to be used to support the acceptance process shall be validated for their intended use.

The validation shall be performed under expected environmental conditions and operational constraints.

Compatibility of tools and test equipment interfaces with flight hardware shall be verified by test.

Calibration of laboratory equipment shall be verified prior to their use.

Tools and test equipment that is modified and used in a new application shall be re-verified according to requirements 6.2.3a to 6.2.3d.

Test facilities, tools and instrumentation shall be designed to avoid adverse effects on the acceptance objectives.

1. Examples of these are: thermocouples, strain gauges, heater mounting, cooling devices, support structures.

### Acceptance test programme

Each heat pipe shall be submitted to the following acceptance test sequence:

Physical property measurement according to clause 5.6.4

Proof pressure according to clause 5.6.5

Leak test according to clause 5.6.8

Gas plug test according to clause 5.6.13

Reduced thermal performance test according to clauses 5.6.14.1 to 5.6.14.4

Each CDL shall be submitted to the following acceptance test sequence:

Physical property measurement according to clause 5.6.4

Proof pressure according to clause 5.6.5

Leak test according to clause 5.6.8

Reduced thermal performance test according to clause 5.6.14.1 and 5.6.14.5.

### Operating procedures

For acceptance operating procedure clause 5.7 shall apply.

### Storage

For storage of accepted TPHTE hardware clause 5.8 shall apply.

### Documentation

Declared Materials List, Declared, Process List and Declared Mechanical Parts List, as established during the qualification process, shall be confirmed to be applicable to the hardware submitted to the acceptance process.

The confirmation can be performed during the similarity analysis of clause 4.5 and 6.1.Test procedures in conformance with ECSS-E-ST-10-03 Annex C shall be established for each test performed.

1. Several test procedures can be grouped in one document.

Test reports in conformance with ECSS-E-ST-10-02 Annex C shall be established for each test performed.

1. Several test reports can be grouped in one document.

The design and manufacturing file of the acceptance hardware shall be under configuration control and available for customer review.

The final outcome of the acceptance process shall be documented in the verification report (VRPT) in conformance with ECSS-E-ST-10-02 Annex F, and agreed with the customer.

Bibliography

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ECSS-S-ST-00 | ECSS system - Description, implementation and general requirements |
| ECSS-E-ST-10 | Space engineering - System engineering general requirements |
| Chi, S. W. | Heat Pipe Theory and Practice, A Sourcebook, McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1976 |
| Faghri, A. | Heat Pipe Science and Technology, Taylor & Francis, 1995 |
| P. D. Dunn, P.D. and Reay D. A. | Heat Pipes, Fourth Edition, Pergamon, 1994 |
| Peterson, G. P. | An Introduction to Heat Pipes: Modelling, Testing, and Applications, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1994 |