Checklist for thin-film hybrid microcircuits manufacturer and line survey

Prepared by: Product Assurance & Safety Department European Space Research and Technology Centre Noordwijk, The Netherlands

Approved by: The Inspector General, ESA

european space agency / agence spatiale européenne 8-10, rue Mario-Nikis, 75738 PARIS CEDEX, France ii

Published by ESA Publications Division, ESTEC, Noordwijk, The Netherlands.

Printed in the Netherlands.

ESA Price code: E1

ISSN 0379 - 4059

Copyright © 1991 by European Space Agency

ABSTRACT

This checklist is intended for use in the capability survey of a thin-film hybrid-microcircuit manufacturer's management, production activities, test facilities and technical skills. It provides the procuring activity with an evaluation of the manufacturer's ability to execute a contract successfully and of his capability to supply high-reliability hardware.

Status	Document Change Summary	
Issue 1	First release	
ł		
	·	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 1.	SCOPE	1
SECTION 2.	GENERAL 2.1 Introduction 2.2 Applicable documents 2.3 Definitions	3 3 3 4
SECTION 3.	REQUIREMENTS - SURVEY CHECKLIST	5
	PART 1. GENERAL INFORMATION 1.1 Supplier's management personnel 1.2 Responsibility for liaison with ESA 1.3 Company structure 1.4 Number of employees 1.5 Range of microcircuits manufactured 1.6 Range of hi-rel microcircuits manufactured 1.7 Manufacturing status 1.8 Contractor quality system 1.9 Principal government and industrial customers 1.10 National and international approvals 1.11 Industrial approvals 1.12 Percentage of hi-rel products 1.13 Control of space-related work	7 7 7 8 8 8 9 9 9 10 10
	PART 2. QUALITY ASSURANCE AND RELIABILITY SYSTEMS AND ORGANISATION 2.1 Quality assurance 2.2 Calibration 2.3 Documentation and change control 2.4 Reliability 2.5 Control of procurement sources 2.6 control of incoming material 2.7 In-process inspection and test 2.8 Control of software 2.9 Final inspection and test 2.10 Facilities and equipment 2.11 Preservation, packing and shipping	11 14 16 17 20 21 23 25 26 30

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

PAI	RT 3. THIN-FILM PROCESSING FACILITIES	35
3.1	Design, artwork, screen fabrication	35
3.2	Substrates	36
3.3	Thin-film deposition	37
3.4	Thin-film patterning	38
3.5	Trimming	39
3.6	Passivation	40
3.7	Film stabilisation	40
3.8	Substrate saw or scribe and break and substrate	
	hole drilling	41
3.9	Final-acceptance test for thin-film processing	41
PAF	RT 4. HYBRID ASSEMBLY	43
4.1	Procurement of add-on components and	
	other materials	43
	Use of chip carriers	43
	Parts mounting: adhesives	44
	Parts mounting: eutectic	45
4.5	Parts mounting: soldering	46
	Parts mounting: controls	48
	Cleaning	49
	Wire and ribbon bonding	50
4.9	Other interconnection techniques	53
4.10) Package sealing	54

SECTION 1. SCOPE

This checklist is intended for use in the capability survey of a thin film hybrid microcircuit manufacturer's management, production activities, test facilities and technical skills. It provides the procuring activity with an evaluation of the manufacturer's ability to execute a contract successfully and of his capability of supplying high reliability hardware.

2

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

ř

SECTION 2 GENERAL

2.1 INTRODUCTION

This checklist is used in conjunction with ESA specification PSS-01-605 to ensure that the manufacturers of thin film hybrid microcircuits are capable of meeting ESA requirements. The capability survey assesses the following aspects of the manufacturer's organisation:

- General organisation and management,
- Quality and Reliability Assurance organisations including definition of authority and effectiveness,
- Facilities and capabilities of the plant in which the thin film hybrid microcircuits will be manufactured and tested,
- Nonconformance control.

In particular, the requirements of Sections 2.5-2.13 of ESA specification PSS-01-605 shall be addressed when conducting a capability survey in accordance with this checklist.

The answers to all questions must reference a procedure prescribed in writing wherever applicable.

2.2 APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS

The following documents are applicable to the extent specified herein.

ESA PSS-01-608	Generic Specification for Hybrid Microcircuits
ESA PSS-01-610	Design Guidelines for Film Hybrid Microcircuits
ESA PSS-01-605	The Capability Approval Programme for Hermetic Thin Film Hybrid Microcircuits
ESA PSS-01-60	Component Selection, Procurement and Control for ESA Spacecraft and Associated Equipment

MIL STD 883 Test Methods and Procedures for

Microelectronics

JEDEC Publication

No. 95

JEDEC Registered and Standard Outlines for Semiconductor Devices

MIL HDBK 217 Military Handbook. Reliability Prediction

of Electronic Equipment

2.3 **DEFINITIONS**

The definitions listed in Annex A of ESA specification PSS-01-605 shall apply.

SECTION 3. REQUIREMENTS - SURVEY CHECKLIST

.

CHECKLIST FOR THIN FILM HYBRID MICROCIRCUITS MANUFACTURER AND LINE SURVEY

Company Name:	
Address:	
Telephone:	
Telex and	
answerback:	
Facsimile:	
Survey requested by	<i>/</i> :
Survey team leader:	
Team members:	
Date of survey	
Report number	

PART 1. GENERAL INFORMATION

1.1 Supplier's Management Personnel Tel. Contacted Position Name during survey? YES/NO YES/NO YES/NO YES/NO YES/NO Responsibility for liaison with ESA 1.2 Quality (a) Name:No. of years with Company Background experience: Reliability (b) Name:No. of years with Company Background experience: Production (c) Name:No. of years with Company Background experience: Engineering design (d)

1.3	Company Structure	
(a)	Type of ownership:	
(b)	Is the Company part of a group?	Yes/No
(c)	If yes, name of parent company:	
(d)	Affiliations with any other company?	
1.4	Number of employees engaged in film hybrid microcircuit activities	
(a)	Total:	
(b)	Administration:	
(c)	Manufacturing:	
(d)	Design Engineering:	
(e)	Production Engineering:	
(f)	Quality Assurance:	
(g)	QA Inspection:	
(h)	Reliability:	
(i)	Other:	
(j)	Number of shifts:	
1.5	Range of microcircuits manufactured	
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
		•••••
		•••••

1.6	Range of hi-rel microcircuits manufactured	
1.7	What is manufacturer status as production ?	regards min hybrid
(a)	Continuous production	YES/NO
(b)	Pilot production	YES/NO
(c)	Advanced manufacturing, limited production facilities	YES/NO
1.8	Contractor Quality System is or with:	
•		
1.9	Principal government and indus	strial customers

1.10	Approvals held by manufacturer under National, CECC or International Approval schemes
(a)	Current
(b)	Intended (including expected date of completion)
1.11	Approvals granted by industrial customers
1.12	What percentage of hybrid microcircuit sales consists of aerospace or other high reliability product?
1.13	Does a particular organisation review and monitor all space related work?

QUALITY ASSURANCE AND RELIABILITY SYSTEMS PART 2. AND ORGANISATION 2.1 **Quality Assurance** To whom does the QA Manager report? (a) Does the company reflect a positive attitude towards quality (b) assurance? Document Reference Comments Does the QA group have sufficient authority according (c) to positions in the company organisation (see YES/NO organisational chart)? Document Reference Comments Have areas of responsibility within the QA (d) YES/NO group been clearly defined? Document Reference Comments Do commitments to corrective action by QA management (e) have only staff influence or do they have direct YES/NO authority in the production line? Which Comments Is there a periodic, comprehensive quality data (f) reporting system covering all operational YES/NO phases? Document Reference Comments What is the QA/Reliability relationship? (g)

(h)	Is there a QA manual or eall levels of appropriate su		YES/NO
	Kept current?		YES/NO
	Controlled distribution?		YES/NO
	Document Reference		
	Comments		
(i)	Are procedures for identification of rejected or accepted prescribed?		YES/NO
	Document Reference		
	Comments		
(j)	What is the ratio of QA insproduction employees?	pectors to direct	
(k)	Is inspection (acceptance a performed by QA personner		
	Receiving inspection?	sampling/sorting/none	
	Document reference		
	In-process?	sampling/sorting/none	
	Document reference		
	Final test?	sampling/sorting/none	
	Document Reference		
	Comments		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

(1)	Are written inspection procedures located and used in the area of:	
	Receiving inspection?	YES/NO
	Document reference	
	In-process?	YES/NO
	Document reference	
	Final inspection?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(m)	Does QA maintain a system of written procedures for statistical controls (control charts, lot plots etc.) in the following areas?	
	Receiving inspection?	YES/NO
	Document reference	
	In-process inspection?	YES/NO
	Document reference	•••••
	Final list?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(n)	Is QA responsible for determining the need for conducting quality training?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(0)	Are training programmes provided for special process personnel?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	

(p)	Are tests given on completion and periodically after training?	YES/NO
	Are records maintained on training and competency (e.g. wirebonding, soldering etc.)?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	••••••
	Comments	
(q)	Are production operators provided with visual aids and working instructions?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	•••••
	Comments	
(r)	Is there a prescribed, regular summarised quality reporting system to senior management which can result in corrective action?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	••••••
2.2	Calibration	
(a)	Is there an effective programme for the control, scheduling and frequency of calibrations (including justification for calibration frequency)?	YES/NO
(a)	control, scheduling and frequency of calibrations (including justification for	
(a)	control, scheduling and frequency of calibrations (including justification for calibration frequency)?	•••••
(a) (b)	control, scheduling and frequency of calibrations (including justification for calibration frequency)? Document Reference	
	control, scheduling and frequency of calibrations (including justification for calibration frequency)? Document Reference Comments Is the identification of calibration status	YES/NO
	control, scheduling and frequency of calibrations (including justification for calibration frequency)? Document Reference Comments Is the identification of calibration status clear on all measuring and test equipment?	YES/NO
	control, scheduling and frequency of calibrations (including justification for calibration frequency)? Document Reference Comments Is the identification of calibration status clear on all measuring and test equipment? Document Reference	YES/NO
(b)	control, scheduling and frequency of calibrations (including justification for calibration frequency)? Document Reference Comments Is the identification of calibration status clear on all measuring and test equipment? Document Reference Comments Is there an effective calibration record control system?	YES/NO

(d)	Are calibrations on equipment maintained and current?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(e)	Are adjustments on calibrated equipment required to be sealed and tamper-proof?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	••••••
	Comments	
(f)	Do the procedures of the calibration function provide for the removal service of equipment that has not been maintained or calibrated in accordance with established schedules?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(g)	Are all calibrations traceable to National Standards?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(h)	Is modified and/or repaired equipment re- calibrated prior to release?	YESN/O
	Document Reference	•••••
	Comments	
(i)	Where equipment is calibrated by an external source are the necessary controls and traceability prescribed?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	•••••
	Comments	

2.3	Documentation and Change Control	
(a)	Does the manufacturer have adequate written procedures for the control of specification and contract change?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(b)	Do these procedures ensure that documents of the correct issue are always available at the required point of manufacture of inspection?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	•••••
(c)	Does Quality Assurance review all documents and document changes prior to issue?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	•••••
	Comments	.,
(d)	Is there a prescribed procedure for alerting suppliers of document and drawing changes?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	•••••
	Comments	
(e)	Are revisions identified on current documents?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	

Reliability 2.4 Is the reliability organisational structure (a) YES/NO clearly defined? Document Reference Comments Does it have direct authority in the line equal to production or engineering management? YES/NO Document Reference Comments Is there a direct line of communication between (b) Reliability, Design Engineering and QA groups to optimise dissemination of information? YES/NO Document Reference Comments Is reliability of film hybrid microcircuits (c) derived from measured data on manufacturer's hybrids (rather than calculations in accordance YES/NO with, e.g., MIL-HBK-217)? Document Reference Comments Does Reliability initiate prompt and efficient (d) response to both unexpected and newly disclosed YES/NO modes of failure? Document Reference Comments Are failures in the line accumulated (types and (e) causes of failure), analysed and reported to YES/NO action addresses? Document Reference Comments

(1)	Are corrections resulting from failure analysis coordinated with the customer QA or Reliability (e.g. changes in processes or piece parts)?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(g)	Does Reliability have the right of approval on test specifications, data tabulation, parts or process changes?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(h)	Is there a system for in-process failure analysis?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(i)	Is a failure analysis laboratory or equivalent available?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	••••••
(j)	Are failure analysis procedures,	
	Adequate?	YES/NO
	Available?	YES/NO
	In use?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	

(k)	Is failure analysis equipment,	
	Adequate?	YES/NO
	Available?	YES/NO
	In use?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(I)	Are failure analysis reports,	
	Adequate?	YES/NO
	Available?	YES/NO
	In use?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(m)	Are personnel assigned specifically to perform failure analysis?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(n)	Does Reliability have a programme to ensure reliability of hybrid microcircuit designs before release?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(0)	Does Reliability have access to all development and production data for analysis?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	•••••
	Comments	

(p)	the line or lines for which the vendor will seek approval	YES/NO
	Document Reference	••••••
	Comments	
2.5	Control of Procurement Sources	
(a)	Does the manufacturer have adequate written procedures for the control of purchasing materials, components and services?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(b)	Does the manufacturer have an effective vendor rating system?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(c)	Does the system provide for effective written corrective actions with suppliers when required?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(d)	Do purchasing documents require test reports to be furnished where contractually required by ESA?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	••••••
(e)	Is there a means of knowing when specification changes require modification to active purchase orders?	YES/NO
	Does Receiving Inspection get such change orders?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	

2.6	Control of Incoming Material	
(a)	Does the manufacturer have adequate written standard inspection procedures for control of receiving materials and services?	YES/NO
	Is the inspectors' use of them defined?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(b)	Are materials received in controlled areas that would preclude removal prior to inspection?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(c)	Are materials properly handled and protected during the receiving process?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(d)	Are drawings and purchase orders used in Receiving Inspection?	YES/NO
	Are they linked with receiving inspection procedures?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(e)	Are test reports received from vendors reviewed?	YESNO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(f)	Are accepted materials adequately identified and segregated?	YES/NO
	Is acceptance evidence on documents?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	

(g)	Are rejected materials adequately identified and segregated?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(h)	Are materials with shelf life or cure dates properly identified and controlled?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	Comments	
(i)	Do records indicate that units, lots or split lots are traceable to applicable documents (specification and revision letter plus inspection record)?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(j)	Are materials stored in a controlled area under charge of an authorised custodian?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(k)	Are storage areas, containers, racks, bins etc. adequate for the type of material stored?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	•••••
	Comments	•••••
(1)	Are suitable inspections and tests, including physical and chemical tests performed on raw materials?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	•••••
	Comments	

(m)	Are such tests performed,	
	In-house?	YES/NO
	Out of house?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
2.7	In-process Inspections and Tests	
(a)	To whom does in-process QA inspection report?	
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(b)	Are inspection and/or operator travellers in use for the sequence and control of all operations and processes?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(c)	Do traveller documents maintain a prescribed format, referencing operation specifications and inspection procedures?	YES/NO
	Are these specifications and procedures available in relevant areas?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	•••••
	Comments	•••••
(d)	Do these specifications and inspection procedures show current revision status comments?	
	Document Reference	
	Comments	

(e)	Does QA have written in-process procedures to control acceptance of products?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
f)	Is the type and quantity of available inspection equipment adequate for the type of work being accomplished?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	•••••
	Comments	••••••
(g)	Are the gauges used by inspection under calibration control?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(h)	Is there a specified material review procedure?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(i)	Does in-process QA inspection summarise quality experience from specific process points and report quality regularly?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	••••••
(j)	Are correction action requests written?	YES/NO
	Replied to?	YES/NO
	Does correction action occur?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	•••••
	Comments	

(k)	Does QA maintain statistical controls (X & R etc.) in the in-process area?	YES/NO
	Are they current and at the individual process stations?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(1)	Is hybrid microcircuit identification maintained throughout the hybrid microcircuit processing function?	YES/NO
	Is full add-on component traceability maintained?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(m)	Are standards for handling, cleanliness and care of materials, parts and equipment available?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
2.8	Control of Software	
(a)	Is there a documented software control systems covering all relevant aspects of hybrid microcircuit design, manufacture and test?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(b)	Do procedures exist for software configuration management?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	••••••••••
	Comments	

(C)	used in programmes during design and development?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(d)	Is software formally accepted and verified prior to general use?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	••••••
	Comments	
(e)	Do controlled security back-up systems and copies exist for software? Document Reference	YES/NO
	Comments	•••••
2.9	Final Test and Inspection	
(a)	Does the final test (QA) have written inspection and test procedures for product classes on the line?	YES/NO
	Do inspectors know how and when to use them?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(b)	Do inspectors use assigned stamps to indicate inspection status of materials and accompanying documents?	YES/NO
(-)		
(c)	Are written requests for corrective actions made?	YES/NO
(C)		YES/NO
(c)	made?	YES/NO
(c)	made? Are they replied to?	YES/NO

(d)	Are rejected devices identified and segregated in a controlled area?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
<u>(</u> e)	Are records maintained on accepted and rejected material and identifiable with the material they represent?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	•••••
	Comments	
(f)	Are device failure experiences analysed and is this experience reported by final test QA?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	••••••
(g)	Is a regular summary report of inspection and test experience (lot acceptance, percentage defectives, types of failure) made to quality management?	YES/NO
	Does it result in actions to minimise the problems?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(h)	Is a testing laboratory or equivalent maintained for quality assurance for,	
	Electrical tests?	YES/NO
	Mechanical tests?	YES/NO
	Chemical tests?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	•••••

(i)	Are statistical controls on device parameter distributions maintained?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(j)	Is an environmental test facility maintained for the following:	
	Temperature (high, low, cyclic)?	YES/NO
	Shock (mechanical)?	YES/NO
	Shock (thermal)?	YES/NO
	Acceleration?	YES/NO
	Vibration (sine, random)?	YES/NO
	Resistance to solvents?	YES/NO
	Moisture resistance?	YES/NO
	Thermographic testing?	YES/NO
	X-ray?	YES/NO
	PIND?	YES/NO
	Fine leak?	YES/NO
	Gross leak?	YES/NO
	Lifetest operating?	YES/NO
	Burn-in?	YES/NO
	Radiation resistance?	YES/NO
	Where facility does not exist in-house, state which out-of-house facility is used.	
	Document Reference	••••••
	Comments	

(k)	Is there automatic equipment for electrically	YES/NO
	testing devices?	
	Go/No Go?	YES/NO
	AC and DC?	YES/NO
	Are all ATE calibrated with traceability back to National Standards?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(1)	Are monitoring charts provided on environmental tests equipment?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
(m)	Is test equipment adequate to satisfy specification requirements?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(n)	Is final external visual inspection performed on 100% devices?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	•••••
	Comments	
(0)	Are devices stored in a limited access area?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(p)	Are devices adequately identified to customer requirements?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	

(q)	Are there provisions for I	ot identifications?	YES/NO
	Document Reference		
	Comments		•••••
2.10	Facilities and Equip	nent	
(a)	Are conditions in each ar with respect to:	ea fit for purpose	
	Lighting? Ventilation? Temperature control? Humidity? Particle count?		YES/NO YES/NO YES/NO YES/NO YES/NO
	Pressure differentials bet different cleanliness?	ween areas of	YES/NO
	Document Reference		••••••
	Comments		
(b)	Is temperature and humid	dity recorded?	
	Document Reference		
	Comments		
(c)	How often are particle co	unts taken in,	
	Class 100 area?		
	Class 10,000 area?		••••••
	Class 100,000 area?		••••••
	Is a log kept of particle co	unts?	YES/NO
	Are air filters checked and appropriate intervals?	d changed at	YES/NO

(d)	Is authority granted to shut down production if environmental conditions are outside of stated limits?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(e)	Which processes are carried out in Class 100	
(f)	Were any infringements of regulations and requirements in Class 100 areas noted with respect to,	
	Gowns and/or blouses and trousers?	YES/NO
	Caps?	YES/NO
	Boots/shoes/overshoes?	YES/NO
	Finger cots?	YES/NO
	Was any lint-producing (wool, knitted goods etc.), street clothing visible under gowns, trousers etc.	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(g)	Are components and tools cleaned to written procedures appropriate to their probable contaminants ?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	•••••
(h)	Do cleanroom procedures detail disciplines with respect to clothing, access, eating, allowed materials, cosmetics etc?	
	Document Reference	
	Comments	

(i)	Are part-finished products stored in inert atmosphere?	YES/NO
(j)	Are finished products stored in adequate conditions?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	•••••
	Comments	

2.11	Preservation, Packing and Shipping	
(a)	Is packing and shipping of goods to an adequate, documented standard?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	•••••
(b)	Are products designated for shipment properly identified, handled and protected?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(c)	Do copies of customer purchase orders and evidence of final inspection acceptance accompany material to shipping?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	•••••
	Comments	
(d)	Do quality personnel conduct audits of all outgoing lots?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(e)	Do shipping documents reflect inspection status or evidence of inspection, identification and shipping requirements?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(f)	Does manufacturer verify all aspects of shipping details against customer order?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

PART 3.	THIN FILM PROCESSING FACILITIES	
3.1	Design, Artwork, Mask Fabrication	
(a)	Is thin film hybrid microcircuit design performed in-house	YES/NO
<u>(</u> b)	Does a formalised set of Design Rules exist?	
	Do these comply with the requirements of ESA PSS-01-610?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(c)	Do all designs and layouts comply with these Design Rules ? If so, do QA verify this?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(d)	Is artwork for masks performed in-house? Are QA checks made on artwork?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(e)	Are masks made in-house?	YES/NO
	Are QA checks made on masks before first use?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(f)	Which type of mask is used (high resolution plates, chrome etc.) for subtractive technique, stainless steel masks for additive technique etc.	

(9)	are rules available controlling the maximum useage of masks?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(h)	Are masks labelled uniquely (including issue and revision status and serial number)?	YES/NO
	Are they stored under controlled conditions?	YES/NO
	In a clean area?	YES/NO
	Limited access?	YES/NO
	Catalogued?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	•••••
3.2	Substrates	
(a)	What substrates are used?	
	Manufacturer	•••••
	Туре	
	Purity	
(b)	Do substrates comply with Sectons 3.2.5.2 and 3.2.5.3 of ESA PSS-01-610?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	•••••
	Comments	•••••
(c)	Is substrate subject to adequate goods receiving checks?	YES/NO
(d)	Is substrate cleaned before use?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	

(e)	Is substrate stored a and deposition?	dequately between cle	aning YES/NO
	Document Reference		
	Comments		
3.3	Thin Film Deposi	tion	
(a)	What methods of deposition are used?		
(b)	What materials are u		
Material	Type No.	Manufacturer	Resistor/Conductor
(c)	Do deposition mater requirements of Sec	rials comply with the ction 3.2.5.3 of ESA PS	SS-01-610?
	Document Reference	e	
	Comments		
(d)	Are written procedu deposition of each r material characteris	material used, including	YES/NO
	Document Reference	9	
	Comments		

(e)	Are written procedures available for each deposition technique used, including control of process parameters and monitoring of deposition rates?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	Comments	
(f)	Do procedures exist to control characteristics and parameters of deposited layers (e.g. thickness, uniformity, resistivity, adherence, blistering etc)?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
3.4	Thin Film Patterning	
(a)	Where substrates are bought in with material pre-deposited, are adequate goods receiving checks performed on thickness uniformity, resistivity, adherence, blistering etc.?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(b)	What patterning methods are used?	
		•••••
(c)	Do written procedures exist on thin film patterning methods?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(d)	Do written procedures exist to control patterning materials?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	

(e)	Do procedures exist to control patterning process parameters?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(f)	Is final inspection/control carried out on patterned layers?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
3.5	Trimming	
(a)	What method of trimming is used?	
(b)	Are trimming rules available in compliance with Section 3.1.4 of ESA PSS-01-610?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(c)	Does trimming equipment automatically control resistor adjustment within trimming rules?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(d)	Are separate checks performed by QA to verify that resistors are accurately adjusted within trimming rules?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	

(e)	Are short and long term stability tests carried out to ensure adjusted resistor stability?	YES/NO
	Is data available?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	•••••
	Comments	•••••
3.6	Passivation	
(a)	What passivation layer is used?	
		••••••
(b)	Does the passivation layer comply with Section 3.2.5.4 of ESA PSS-01-610?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(c)	Is final inspection/control carried out for the passivation layer?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	•••••
3.7	Film Stabilisation	
(a)	Is a procedure used for film stabilisation?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	•••••••
(b)	Is the effectiveness of this procedure verified by long term stability tests?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	

3.8	Substrate, Saw or Scribe and Break and Substrate Drilling	te Hole
(a)	What method of substrate sawing or scribing and breaking is used?	
	What method of hole drilling is used?	
(b)	Is this documented with respect to method and parameter control?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
(c)	Is inspection carried out on separated substrates?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(d)	Are holes checked for positional tolerance and hole profile?	YESN/O
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
3.9	Final Acceptance Test for Thin Film Processing	
(a)	Are checks carried out on dimensions?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(b)	Are electrical tests carried out on all circuits?	YES/NC
	Document Reference	
	0	

(c)	Is visual inspection carried out on all circuits?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	•••••
(d)	Is this compatible with the relevant sections of the current edition of MIL STD 883 Method 2017 for Class S devices?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(e)	Are thin film processing results recorded and filed for a specified period?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	

PART 4.	HYBRID ASSEMBLY
4.1	Procurement of add-on components and other materials
(a)	Is the procurement, selection and control of all add-on components compatible with ESA PSS-01-60? YES/NO
	Document Reference
	Comments
(b)	Are chip lot acceptance procedures for active components carried out in accordance with section 3.3.4.2.2. of ESA PSS-01-608? YES/NO
	Document Reference
	Comments
(c)	Are chip lot acceptance procedures for passive components carried out in accordance with section 3.3.4.3.2 of ESA PSS-01-608? YES/NO
	Document Reference
	Comments
(d)	Are adhesives, solders, fluxes and other interconnection materials procured in accordance with ESA-PSS-610? YES/NO
	Document Reference
	Comments
4.2	Use of Chip Carriers
(a)	Are components mounted into chip carriers by hybrid manufacturer? YES/NO
	Document Reference
	Comments
(b)	Do chip carriers conform to JEDEC publication No. 95 YES/NO
	Document Reference
	Comments

(c)	Are adhesives, sold interconnection mat mounting in chip ca ESA PSS-01-610?		vith YES/NO
	Document Reference	·	
	Comments		
(d)	hybrid manufacturer tested, screened an	ounted in chip carriers r fully characterised, ed burned-in before film hybrid substrates?	
	Document Reference)	
	Comments		
4.3	Parts Mounting -	Adhesives	
(a)		ed and whether used to be substrate to package.	for active devices,
Name	Type No.	Manufacturer	Acive/passive/package

(b)	Is adequate data available (either from the hybrid manufacturer or the adhesive supplier) on the following:		
	Corrosivity?		YES/NO
	Outgassing?		YES/NO
	Joint strength?		YES/NO
	Service temperature	?	YES/NO
	Storage conditions?		YES/NO
	Shelf life?		YES/NO
	Document Reference		
	Comments		
(c)	Are details available equipment used, cor alignment?	on adhesive applicat atrol of amount and co	ion, Imponent YES/NO
	Document Reference		
	Comments		
(d) 1	Do adhesives compl ESA PSS-01-610?	y with Section 3.2.5.1	1 of YES/NO
	Document Reference		
	Comments		
4.4	Parts Mounting -	Eutectic	
(a)	State eutectic mater passive devices or s	ials used and whether substrate to package	rused for active devices
Name	Type No.	Manufacturer	Acive/passive/package
*			
g*			

(b)	Is adequate data available (either from hybrid manufacterer or eutectic supplier) on the following?				
		ility with metallisa nt or substrate?	tion system	ı on	YES/NO
	Stability o	f electrical param	eters?		YES/NO
	Operating	temperature?			YES/NO
	Environm	ental stability?			YES/NO
	Document	Reference			
	Comments	S			
(c)	procedure	s available on eut es, equipment use rs during bonding	d and cont		YES/NO
	Document	Reference			
	Comments	S			
(d)	Do eutecti PSS-01-6	ics comply with Se 10?	ection 3.2.5	5.7 of ESA	YES/NO
	Document	Reference			
	Comments	S	·····		••••
4.5	Parts Mo	ounting - Solde	ring		
(a)		er materials and fices, passive devi			
Name	Туре	Manufacturer	flux	Active/Passive	e/Package
	•••••				

(b)	Is adequate data available (either from the hybrid manufacturer or solder/flux supplier) on the following?	
	Compatibility with metallisation system on component or substrate?	YES/NO
	Corrosivity (for fluxes)?	YES/NO
	Outgassing (for solder pastes and fluxes)?	YES/NO
	Shelf life (for solder pastes)?	YES/NO
	Stability of electrical parameters?	YES/NO
	Operating temperatures?	YES/NO
	Environmental stability?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	•••••
	Comments	•••••
(c)	Are details available on solder attachment procedures, equipment used and control of parameters during the reflow process (including curing for solder pastes)?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	•••••
	Comments	
(d)	Do solders and fluxes comply with Sections 3.2.5.7-3.2.5.9 of ESA PSS-01-610?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
e)	Are there adequate procedures for cleaning off solder fluxes?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	

(f)	In the case of chip carriers and other surface mount components, are adequate procedures used to ensure there is no entrapment of flux residues and solder balls underneath the surface mount component?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(g)	In the case of chip carriers and other surface mount components, is inspection carried out to ensure an adequate stand-off between component and substrate?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	······
	Comments	
4.6	Parts Mounting - Controls	
(a)	Is the attachment cycle controlled and does it follow that laid down by the attachment medium supplier?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	•••••
	Comments	
(b)	Are inspection procedures compatible with the current edition of Method 2017 of MIL-STD-883 in use?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	•••••
	Comments	******************
(c)	Are tests carried out to assure the adhesion strength of attached components (shear strength, torque strength etc.)?	YES/NO
(d)	In case of failure at adherence test what action is taken?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	

(e)	Does data exist to prove the long term strength of adhesive systems?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
4.7	Cleaning	
(a)	Is cleaning carried out in accordance with Section 3.2.5.10 of ESA PSS-01-610? (i.e. <u>Ultrasonic cleaning of circuits with wire or beam lead connections is not permitted)</u>	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(b)	Are cleaning steps included during the fabrication process at all relevant stages and clearly identified in the flow chart?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(c)	Are the following parameters of the cleaning process controlled and documented?	
	Time?	YES/NO
	Temperature?	YES/NO
	Solutions concentration?	YES/NO
	Solutions purity?	YES/NO
	Ultrasonic frequency range?	YES/NO
	Ultrasonic power?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	•••••

(d)	Are	Are tests carried out to ensure that cleaning processes:			
	Are	e effective?		YES/NO	
	Do circ	not damage (electri cuits?	cally, chemically etc.)	YES/NO	
	Do	cument Reference			
	Co	mments			
4.8		re and Ribbon Bo			
(a)	State wire and ribbon bond materials used, whether for active devices to substrates, passive devices to substrates, crossovers on substrates, within chip carriers or others (specify),			es to	
Wire/ribbon Type and Material		Manufacturer	Manufacturer's part no.	Used for	
•••••	•••••				
•••••					
	•••••				

(b)	Are controls in operior properties/parame	eration for theters?	nese	
	Composition?			YES/NO
	Dimensions?			YES/NO
	Cross-section (im	perfections)	?	YES/NO
	Elongation?			YES/NO
	Breaking strength	1?		YES/NO
	Surface propertie			YES/NO
	Manner of spooli			YES/NO
	Manner of packa			YES/NO
	Storage conditio			YES/NO
	Has an evaluation materials? If so,	n been carr are the resu	ied out on bonding ults available?	YES/NO
(c)	Do bonding wire Section 3.2.5.12	s meet the r of ESA PS	requirements of S-01-610?	YES/NO
	Document Refere	ence		
	Comments			
(d)	compression, the type (manual, at	ermasonic) utomatic or s d for (active trates, cross	hod (ultrasonic, therm used for wire/ribbon l semi-automatic) and to devices to substrates -overs on substrates, cify)),	which s, passive
Equipment	Method	Туре	Used for	Document
				••••••

(e)	Are the following bonding process factors/parameters controlled?	
	Temperature? Ultrasonic power? Time? Bonding tool force? Condition of capillary? Cleanliness?	YES/NO YES/NO YES/NO YES/NO YES/NO YES/NO
	Was an optimisation programme carried out on these parameters for each machine? If so, are the results available?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(f)	How often are these bonding process factors/parameters controlled?	
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(g)	Are these bonding process factors/parameters subject to calibration?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(h)	Does a sampling schedule exist to test consistency of bond strength?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	••••••
	Give details of bonds pulled at setting up, how often setting up is performed (hourly, daily, per shift etc.) and what pulling is performed on product?	
		••••••
		••••••
		•••••

(i)	In the case of failure at bond strength test, does a formalised procedure exist?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(j)	Are control/inspection methods applied to bonded devices/parts?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	••••••
(k)	Is a 100% visual inspection carried out?	YES/NO
4.9	Other Interconnection Techniques	
	In the case of other interconnection techniques	

In the case of other interconnection techniques (e.g. beamlead, TAB etc.) full details shall be given of the techniques and the kind of devices bonded. Then, each of the questions of Section 4.8 (with the exception of (c)) shall be answered

4.10	Package Sealing	
(a)	Are pre-seal acceptance tests (including accept/reject criteria) specified with respect to	
	Electrical parameters?	YES/NO
	Visual inspection?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(b)	Is a 100% pre-seal acceptance carried out?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(c)	Are devices cleaned prior to sealing? If so, are they inspected 100% subsequent to cleaning?	YES/NO YES/NO
	Document Reference	•••••
	Comments	
(d)	Do packages, package materials, sealing methods and sealing materials comply with the requirements of Section 3.2.5.13 of ESA PSS-01-610?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(e)	Are precautions taken to avoid the entrapment of corrosive solder flux vapours in the package during the sealing process?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	••••••
	Comments	
(f)	Are precautions taken to avoid the overheating of circuit components during the sealing process?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	***************************************
	Comments	

(g)	Are the following controlled, where applicable, and documented?	
	Pre-seal bake?	YES/NO
	Heat (or power) used to produce seal?	YES/NO
	Humidity during sealing?	YES/NO
•	Flow rate of gases?	YES/NO
	Welding controls (pressure, power, time)?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	•••••
(h)	Are controls in existence to provide an inert gas atmosphere within the package?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(i)	Do control and inspection procedures exist for the seal quality?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	
(j)	Is the hermeticity of the package measured in accordance with the requirements of ESA PSS-01-608?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	

(k)	Has an evaluation been carried out on the compatibility of the package and sealing method with other materials, parts and processes and the anticipated usage with respect to stability of electrical parameters?	
	Type and geometry of devices?	YES/NO
	Chemical stability?	YES/NO
	Environmental stability?	YES/NO
	Document Reference	
	Comments	