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# Scope

This document identifies the clauses and requirements modified with respect to the standard CCSDS 232.0-B-3, *TC Space Data Link Protocol*, Issue 3, September 2015 for application in ECSS.

# Context information

In the standard CCSDS 232.0-B-3, *TC Space Data Link Protocol*, CCSDS specifies a data link layer protocol for the efficient transfer of space application data of various types and characteristics over ground-to-space links. The Communications Operation Procedure-1 (COP-1) specified in CCSDS 232.1-B-2 is used with the TC Space Data Link Protocol: it provides a mechanism for delivery of frames in sequence and without gaps or duplication.

With this Adoption Notice ECSS is adopting and applying CCSDS 232.0-B-3 with a minimum set of modifications, identified in the present document, to allow for reference and for a consistent integration in the ECSS system of standards.

The TC Transfer Frame specified in CCSDS 232.0-B-3 is similar to the TC Transfer Frame specified in clauses 5 (Segmentation sublayer) and 6 (Transfer sublayer) in the ECSS-E-ST-50-04 *Space data links – Telecommand protocols synchronization and channel coding* , that is superseded by the following three Adoption Notices: ECSS-E-ST-50-24C, ECSS-E-AS-50-25C and ECSS-E-AS-50-26C.

Differences between these two standards that are not covered by the normative modifications in clause **Error! Reference source not found.** are described in the informative Annex A.

Overview of superseded ECSS-E-50-xx Standards

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Superseded ECSS | ECSS Adopted Notice | Based on CCSDS |
| ECSS-E-ST-50-01C  31 July 2008 | ECSS-E-AS-50-21C | CCSDS 131.0-B-3 (Sept. 2017) |
| ECSS-E-ST-50-03C  31 July 2008 | ECSS-E-AS-50-22C | CCSDS 132.0-B-2 (Sept. 2015) |
| ECSS-E-AS-50-23C | CCSDS 732.0-B-3 (August 2016) |
| ECSS-E-ST-50-04C  31 July 2008 | ECSS-E-ST-50-24C | CCSDS 231.0-B-3 (Sept. 2017) |
| ECSS-E-AS-50-25C | CCSDS 232.0-B-3 (Sept. 2015) |
| ECSS-E-AS-50-26C | CCSDS 232.1-B-2 (Sept. 2010) |

# Abbreviated terms

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Abbreviation | Meaning |
| COP | Communications Operation Procedure |
| FARM | Frame Acceptance and Reporting Mechanism |
| FDU | Frame Data Unit |
| GVCID | Global Virtual Channel Identifier |
| SDLS | Space Data Link Security |

# Application requirements

CCSDS 232.0-B-3, TC Space Data Link Protocol, Issue 3, September 2015 shall apply with the following modifications listed in Table 4‑1.

Table 4‑1: Applicability table for CCSDS 232.0-B-3

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Clause or requirement number | Applicability | Applicable text  (the new/added text is underlined) | Comments | Text as in the original document  (deleted text with strikethrough) |
| 4.1.1b | Modified | Transfer Frame Data Field (up to 1017 octets, mandatory); | CCSDS requirement modified:  number “1019” deleted | Transfer Frame Data Field (up to ~~1019 or~~ 1017 octets, mandatory); |
| 4.1.1c | Modified | Frame Error Control Field (2 octets, mandatory). | CCSDS requirement modified: word “optional” replaced by the word “mandatory.” | Frame Error Control Field (2 octets, ~~optional~~). |
| 4.1.3.2.1.4 | Modified  (renumbered NOTE) | NOTE 1 | CCSDS existing NOTE is given a new number – the content of the note is unchanged | ~~NOTE~~ |
| 4.1.3.2.1.4 | Modified  (new NOTE) | NOTE 2 – If the Packet Assembly Controller Function specified in 4.4.9 is used, there can be Frame Data Units that carry a MAP Reset command. In this case, the Frame Data Unit consists of a Segment Header only and the User Data field is absent. See 4.4.9.4. | New NOTE is added |  |
| 4.1.4.1.1 | Modified  (deleted requirement) |  | Requirement deleted | ~~The Frame Error Control Field is optional; its presence or absence shall be established by management.~~ |
| 4.1.4.1.2 | Modified | The Frame Error Control Field shall occupy the two octets following, without gap, the Transfer Frame Data Field. | CCSDS requirement modified: words “if present” deleted. | ~~If present,~~ the Frame Error Control Field shall occupy the two octets following, without gap, the Transfer Frame Data Field. |
| 4.1.4.1.3 | Modified  (deleted requirement) |  | Requirement deleted | ~~If present, the Frame Error Control Field shall occur within every Transfer Frame transmitted within the same Physical Channel throughout a Mission Phase.~~ |
| Note 2, below 4.1.4.1.3 | Modified  (deleted NOTE) |  | NOTE deleted | ~~Whether this field should be used on a particular Physical Channel will be determined based on the mission requirements for data quality and the selected options for the underlying Channel Coding Sublayer.~~ |
| Note 1 in 4.2.1.8.3.1 | Modified  (modified NOTE) | The No Bit Lock Flag provides a performance quality indicator that indicates specifically whether the Physical Layer is working normally by having enough signal energy to achieve bit synchronization with the received data stream. | CCSDS requirement modified: words “mission specific engineering measurement that” deleted. | The No Bit Lock Flag ~~is an optional, mission-specific engineering measurement that~~ provides a performance quality indicator that indicates specifically whether the Physical Layer is working normally by having enough signal energy to achieve bit synchronization with the received data stream. |
| 4.2.1.8.3.2 | Modified | The No Bit Lock Flag shall be set as follows: ‘0’ when at least one of the spacecraft demodulation units for the physical channel has achieved bit lock; ‘1’ when none of the spacecraft demodulation units for the physical channel has achieved bit lock. | CCSDS requirement modified to refer to spacecraft demodulation units for the physical channel. Sentences “Use of the No Bit Lock Flag is optional; if used, a) ‘0’ shall indicate bit lock has been achieved; b) ‘1’ shall indicate bit lock has not been achieved.”deleted. | ~~Use of the No Bit Lock Flag is optional; if used,~~ ~~a) ‘0’ shall indicate bit lock has been achieved; b) ‘1’ shall indicate bit lock has not been achieved.~~ |
| 4.2.1.8.3.3 | Modified | The No Bit Lock Flag shall always carry an actual report of the status of the physical channel, even when other fields in the CLCW report the status of an inactive virtual channel. | CCSDS requirement modified to refer to actual report of the status of the physical channel. Sentense “The single No Bit Lock Flag shall apply to all Virtual Channels and shall be updated whenever a change is signaled by the Physical Layer”. deleted. | ~~The single No Bit Lock Flag shall apply to all Virtual Channels and shall be updated whenever a change is signaled by the Physical Layer.~~ |
| 4.2.1.8.3.4 | Modified  (deleted requirement) |  | Requirement deleted | ~~If the No Bit Lock Flag is not used, it shall be set permanently to ‘0’.~~ |
| 4.4.1.6 (new) | New requirement | When extracting and reconstructing Packets from Frame Data Units, the Packet Assembly Controller Function specified in 4.4.9 may be used. | New requirement added:  added the Packet Assembly Controller |  |
| 4.4.2.5 (new) | New requirement | When extracting and reconstructing MAP\_SDUs from Frame Data Units, the Packet Assembly Controller Function specified in 4.4.9 may be used. | New requirement added:  added the Packet Assembly Controller |  |
| 4.4.9 | New section | Packet Assembly Controller Function | New section with new requirements added (4.4.9) |  |
| 4.4.9.1 | New | **Overview**  The Packet Assembly Controller Function can be used by the MAP Packet Extraction Function to reassemble Packets and by the MAP Reception Function to reassemble MAP\_SDUs.  The Packet Assembly Controller Function includes the handling of exceptions. When the function detects an exception it enters a lockout state. In the lockout state, it does not reassemble or deliver Packets or MAP\_SDUs. When it receives a valid MAP Reset command, the Packet Assembly Controller Function exits lockout state.  Despite the word “packet” in its name, the function can be used for Packets and for MAP\_SDUs: the name is inherited from earlier standards. | New |  |
| 4.4.9.2 | New | **MAP Identifiers for the Packet Assembly Controller Function** |  |  |
| 4.4.9.2.1 | New requirement | Each instance of the Packet Assembly Controller Function shall use a pair of MAP Identifiers with the following properties:  a) The pair of MAP Identifiers identifies one MAP for data and one MAP for control.  b) The MAP Identifier for the data MAP has the most significant bit set to ‘0’.  c) The MAP Identifier for the control MAP has the same value as the data MAP except that the most significant bit is set to ‘1’.  NOTE The MAP Identifier is a 6-bit value. Therefore, the data MAP has an identifier in the range 0 to 31 and the control MAP has an identifier in the range 32 to 63. So, control MAP Identifier = data MAP Identifier + 32, and the least significant 5 bits of the two MAP Identifiers are the same. |  |  |
| 4.4.9.2.2 | New requirement | The MAP Identifier in the Segment Header of a frame carrying Packet or MAP\_SDU data shall be set to the MAP Identifier of the data MAP. |  |  |
| 4.4.9.2.3 | New requirement | The MAP Identifier in the Segment Header of a frame carrying a control segment shall be set to the MAP Identifier of the control MAP.  NOTE A control segment can contain a MAP Reset command: see 4.4.9.4. |  |  |
| 4.4.9.3 | New | **Behaviour of the Packet Assembly Controller Function** |  |  |
| 4.4.9.3.1 | New requirement | For frames with the MAP Identifier of the data MAP, the Packet Assembly Controller Function shall reconstruct the Packets or MAP\_SDUs from the Frame Data Units, using the Sequence Flags of the Segment Header of each Frame Data Unit. |  |  |
| 4.4.9.3.2 | New requirement | The Packet Assembly Controller Function shall have a reassembly status flag set as follows:  a) ‘0’ when the Packet Assembly Controller Function has completed reconstruction of a Packet or MAP\_SDU;  b) ‘1’ when reconstruction of a Packet or MAP\_SDU is in progress in the Packet Assembly Controller Function. |  |  |
| 4.4.9.3.3 | New requirement | The Packet Assembly Controller Function shall enter a lockout state when it detects one of the following errors:  a) an incorrect sequence of Frame Data Units, as indicated by the Sequence Flags;  b) a control segment with an invalid format.  NOTE - The following is a list of the incorrect sequences of Sequence Flags that cause the Packet Assembly Controller Function to enter lockout state. The values for the Sequence Flags are shown in parentheses:   * a first segment (‘01’) followed by a first segment (‘01’); * a first segment (‘01’) followed by a no segmentation (‘11’); * a continuing segment (‘00’) followed by a first segment (‘01’); * a continuing segment (‘00’) followed by a no segmentation (‘11’); * a last segment (‘10’) followed by a continuing segment (‘00’); * a last segment (‘10’) followed by a last segment (‘10’); * a no segmentation (‘11’) followed by a continuing segment (‘00’; * a no segmentation (‘11’) followed by a last segment (‘10’). |  |  |
| 4.4.9.3.4 | New requirement | The Packet Assembly Controller Function shall have a lockout status flag set as follows:  a) ‘1’ when the Packet Assembly Controller Function is in a lockout state;  b) ‘0’ when the Packet Assembly Controller Function is not in a lockout state. |  |  |
| 4.4.9.3.5 | New requirement | When the Packet Assembly Controller Function is in a lockout state, it shall not reconstruct Packets or MAP\_SDUs. |  |  |
| 4.4.9.3.6 | New requirement | When the Packet Assembly Controller Function is in a lockout state, it shall remain in that state until it receives a MAP Reset command as specified in 4.4.9.4. |  |  |
| 4.4.9.3.7 | New requirement | The Packet Assembly Controller Function shall report its status to the sending end, including the following:  a) the MAP Identifier of the data MAP, and  b) the reassembly status flag, and  c) the lockout status flag.  NOTE - The correct operation of the Packet Assembly Controller Function relies on its status being known by the sending end. This Standard does not specify the format of the status information nor the mechanism to be used to transport it from the Packet Assembly Controller Function to the appropriate entity at the sending end. It also does not specify any resulting behaviour at the sending end, such as the decision to send a control segment containing a MAP Reset command. |  |  |
| 4.4.9.3.8 | New requirement | When the Packet Assembly Controller Function receives a MAP Reset command and the reassembly status flag is ‘1’, the function shall:  a) discard the partially reconstructed Packet or MAP\_SDU, and  b) set the reassembly status flag to ‘0’. |  |  |
| 4.4.9.3.9 | New requirement | When the Packet Assembly Controller Function receives a MAP reset command and the function is in lockout state, the function shall exit lockout state.  NOTE The MAP Reset command is used, for example, to recover from breaks in the sequence of received frames due to link difficulties or unplanned termination of transfer services. |  |  |
| **4.4.9.4** | New | **Control** segment **and MAP Reset command** |  |  |
| 4.4.9.4.1 | New requirement | A control segment shall have a length of one octet. |  |  |
| 4.4.9.4.2 | New requirement | The Sequence Flags in the Segment Header of a control segment shall be set to ‘11’. |  |  |
| 4.4.9.4.3 | New requirement | The MAP Identifier in the Segment Header of a control segment shall contain the MAP Identifier of a control MAP. |  |  |
| 4.4.9.4.4 | New requirement | A valid control segment shall be considered to be a MAP Reset command.  NOTE 1: A control segment is a special case of a Frame Data Unit. It has no User Data field and therefore consists of a Segment Header only.  NOTE 2: If a Frame Data Unit has the MAP Identifier of a control MAP but it does not conform to these rules then it is considered to be an invalid control segment. |  |  |
| Table 5-1, in row *Presence of Frame Error Control* | Modified  (Text in a Table 5-1) | Present | CCSDS text in Table 5-1 modified: word “absent” deleted. | Present~~, Absent~~ |
| Table 5-4, in row *Maximum Frame Data Unit Length* | Modified  (Text in a Table 5-1) | Integer (up to 1017) | CCSDS text in Table 5-1 modified: number “1019” changed into number“1017”. | Integer (up to ~~1019~~) |
| 6.3.7 | Modified | In a Transfer Frame with SDLS, the Frame Error Control Field shall occupy the two octets following, without gap, the Security Trailer if the Security Trailer is present, or the Transfer Frame Data Field if a Security Trailer is not present.  The Frame Error Control Field of a frame with SDLS shall conform to the specifications of 4.1.4.2 and 4.1.4.3. | CCSDS requirement modified: words “if present” deleted; references to the sections 4.1.4.1.1, 4.1.4.1.3 deleted. | In a Transfer Frame with SDLS, the Frame Error Control Field~~, if present,~~ shall occupy the two octets following, without gap, the Security Trailer if the Security Trailer is present, or the Transfer Frame Data Field if a Security Trailer is not present.  The Frame Error Control Field of a frame with SDLS shall conform to the specifications of ~~4.1.4.1.1, 4.1.4.1.3,~~ 4.1.4.2~~,~~ and 4.1.4.3. |

1. (informative)  
   Differences from ECSS-E-ST-50-04
   1. General

Clause 4 of this document contains normative additions and modifications concerning some of the differences between CCSDS 232.0-B-3 and ECSS-E-ST-50-04 (superseded by this Adoption Notice). This Annex describes some additional differences that are not covered by Clause 4.

This Annex lists the differences of technical content, but it is not the purpose of this Annex to provide complete details on each item in the list or to describe the consequences of each item in the list.

* 1. Differences
     1. Specification of service interfaces

Section 3 of CCSDS 232.0-B-3 provides a formal abstract specification of a set of service interfaces, including service primitives and parameters, provided by the TC Space Data Link Protocol. There was no equivalent in ECSS-E-ST-50-04.

* + 1. Interfaces for Space Data Link Security (SDLS)

CCSDS 232.0-B-3 specifies the optional interfaces for using the Space Data Link Security (SDLS) protocol with TC Transfer Frames. ECSS-E-ST-50-04 did not include support for interfacing to SDLS. Therefore, this Adoption Notice – unlike the ECSS-E-ST-50-04C - offers to ECSS users the option of using the Space Data Link Security (SDLS) protocol with TC Transfer Frames.

* + 1. Managed parameters

Sections 5 and 6.6 of CCSDS 232.0-B-3 have a normative specification of the managed parameters used by the TC Space Data Link Protocol. Annex E of ECSS-E-ST-50-04 had an informative specification, and referred to the parameters as mission configuration parameters.
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